

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A
(Rule 14a-101)

**INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT
SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION**

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only** (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

Summit Hotel Properties, Inc.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

- Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
- Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:



April 5, 2017

Dear Fellow Stockholder:

You are cordially invited to attend the 2017 annual meeting of stockholders of Summit Hotel Properties, Inc., a Maryland corporation, to be held on Thursday, May 18, 2017, at 8:00 a.m. central time, at the Hampton Inn & Suites, 200 San Jacinto Boulevard, Austin, Texas 78701.

The attached proxy statement, accompanied by the notice of annual meeting, describes the matters expected to be acted upon at the meeting. We urge you to review these materials carefully and to use this opportunity to take part in the affairs of Summit Hotel Properties by voting on the matters described in this proxy statement. We hope that you will be able to attend the meeting.

Your vote is important. Whether or not you plan to attend the meeting, please complete the enclosed proxy card and return it as promptly as possible, or authorize a proxy to vote your shares by calling the toll-free telephone number, or via the Internet. The enclosed proxy card contains instructions regarding all three methods of voting. If you attend the meeting, you may continue to have your shares voted as you have previously instructed or you may withdraw your proxy at the meeting and vote your shares in person. We look forward to seeing you at the meeting.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "D. Hansen", is written over a faint, light blue background.

Daniel P. Hansen
Chief Executive Officer

SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, INC.
12600 HILL COUNTRY BLVD, SUITE R-100
AUSTIN, TEXAS 78738

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS
TO BE HELD ON MAY 18, 2017

The 2017 annual meeting of stockholders of Summit Hotel Properties, Inc., a Maryland corporation, will be held on Thursday, May 18, 2017, at 8:00 a.m., central time, at the Hampton Inn & Suites, 200 San Jacinto Boulevard, Austin, Texas 78701, for the following purposes:

1. To elect five directors nominated by our Board of Directors, each to serve until the next annual meeting of our stockholders and until their successors are duly elected and duly qualified;
2. To consider and vote upon the ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young, LLP, as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for 2017;
3. To consider and vote upon an advisory (non-binding) resolution on executive compensation;
4. To consider and vote upon a proposed charter amendment to repeal the Company's election to be subject to Section 3-804(c) of the Maryland General Corporation Law (the "MGCL"); and
5. To transact such other business as may be properly brought before the annual meeting and at any adjournments or postponements thereof.

You may vote if you were a holder of record of our common stock at the close of business on March 20, 2017, the record date.

Whether or not you plan to attend the annual meeting your vote is very important, and the Company encourages you to promptly authorize a proxy to vote your shares.

BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS



Christopher Eng
Executive Vice President, General Counsel,
Chief Risk Officer and Secretary

Austin, Texas
April 5, 2017

Contents

GENERAL INFORMATION.....	1
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING	2
PROPOSAL 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS	5
PROPOSAL 2: RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF ERNST & YOUNG, LLP (“EY”), AS INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM OF THE COMPANY FOR 2017	9
PROPOSAL 3: ADVISORY (NON-BINDING) VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	10
PROPOSAL 4: CHARTER AMENDMENT TO REPEAL THE COMPANY’S ELECTION TO BE SUBJECT TO SECTION 3-804(C) OF THE MGCL	11
CORPORATE GOVERNANCE PRINCIPLES AND BOARD MATTERS	12
Recent Developments	12
Board of Directors Structure	12
Stock Ownership Guidelines.....	13
Anti-Hedging and Anti-Pledging Policies	13
Compensation Clawback Policy	14
Succession Planning	14
THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND ITS COMMITTEES	15
Independence of Directors	15
Committees	15
Audit Committee.....	15
Compensation Committee.....	15
Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee	16
Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation	16
Board Leadership Structure	16
Risk Management Oversight.....	16
Meetings and Attendance.....	17
Executive Sessions of Our Independent Directors.....	17
Director Nominations	17
Policy on Voting Regarding Directors.....	18
Communication with the Board	18
Policy Governing Director Attendance at Annual Meetings of Stockholders	19
DIRECTOR COMPENSATION	20
COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS	22
2016 Company Highlights	22
2016 Compensation Highlights.....	23
Compensation Best Practice Policies	23
Results of Stockholder Say-on-Pay Votes	23
Role of the Compensation Committee and Management.....	24
Role of the Compensation Consultant	24

Peer Group Information	24
2016 Target Direct Compensation (“TDC”)	25
2016 Target Annual Compensation (“TAC”)	25
2016 Compensation Elements	26
1. Base Salary	26
2. Cash Incentive Compensation Program.....	26
3. Long-Term Incentive Compensation	28
Performance-Based Stock Awards	28
Time-Based Stock Awards	29
Other Benefits; 401(k) Plan	30
Employment Agreements.....	30
Tax Deductibility of Executive Compensation	30
Accounting Standards	30
Risk Considerations in our Executive Compensation Program	30
EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION.....	32
Summary Compensation Table.....	32
Grants of Plan-Based Awards	33
Discussion of Summary Compensation and Grants of Plan-Based Awards Tables.....	34
Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End	34
Option Exercises and Stock Vested	35
Employment Agreements.....	35
Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control.....	35
AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT	37
Fee Disclosure	38
Audit Fees	38
Audit-Related Fees	38
Tax Fees.....	38
All Other Fees	38
Pre-Approval Policy	38
SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT	39
Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners	39
Security Ownership of Management.....	40
RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS.....	41
Related Party Transaction Policy	41
Related Party Transactions	41
OTHER INFORMATION	42
Discretionary Voting Authority	42
Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance	42
Stockholder Proposals and Director Nominations for the 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders	42

Requirements for Proposals to be Considered for Inclusion in Proxy Materials	42
Requirements for Proposals Not Intended for Inclusion in Proxy Materials; Director Nominations.....	42
Requests for Annual Report.....	43
APPENDIX A	44



**PROXY STATEMENT
2017 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS**

GENERAL INFORMATION

Proxy Solicitation

The Board of Directors (the "Board") of Summit Hotel Properties, Inc., a Maryland corporation, has made these materials available to you on the Internet or, upon your request, has delivered printed versions of these materials to you by mail, in connection with its solicitation of proxies for its 2017 annual meeting of stockholders to be held on May 18, 2017, at 8:00 a.m., central time, at the Hampton Inn & Suites, 200 San Jacinto Boulevard, Austin, Texas 78701, and at any adjournments or postponements thereof. These materials were first made available to stockholders on April 5, 2017. Unless the context requires otherwise, references in this proxy statement to "Summit," "we," "our," "us" and the "Company" refer to Summit Hotel Properties, Inc., and its consolidated subsidiaries.

Pursuant to rules adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), we have elected to provide access to our proxy materials on the Internet. Accordingly, we are sending a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (the "Notice of Internet Availability") to our stockholders. All stockholders will have the ability to access the proxy materials at www.proxyvote.com or request to receive a printed set of the proxy materials by mail or an electronic set of materials by email. Instructions on how to access the proxy materials on the Internet or to request a printed copy may be found in the Notice of Internet Availability. Stockholders also may request to receive proxy materials in printed form by mail or electronically by email on an ongoing basis.

In addition to solicitation by mail, certain of our directors, officers and employees may solicit proxies by telephone, personal contact or other means of communication. They will not receive any additional compensation for these activities. Also, brokers, banks and other persons holding common stock on behalf of beneficial owners will be requested to solicit proxies or authorizations from beneficial owners. We will bear all costs incurred in connection with the preparation, assembly and mailing of the proxy materials and the solicitation of proxies and will reimburse brokers, banks and other nominees, fiduciaries and custodians for reasonable expenses incurred by them in forwarding proxy materials to beneficial owners of our common stock. Although no proxy solicitor has been engaged at this time, we may determine it is necessary to employ an outside firm to assist in the solicitation process. If so, we will pay the proxy solicitor reasonable and customary fees.

No person is authorized to give any information or to make any representation not contained in this proxy statement and, if given or made, you should not rely on that information or representation as having been authorized by us. The delivery of this proxy statement does not imply that the information herein has remained unchanged since the date of this proxy statement.

Summit Contact Information

The mailing address of our principal executive office is 12600 Hill Country Boulevard, Suite R-100, Austin, Texas 78738, and our main telephone number is (512) 538-2300. We maintain an Internet website at www.shpreit.com. Information at or connected to our website is not and should not be considered part of this proxy statement.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING

On what am I voting?

You are being asked to consider and vote on the following proposals:

- Election of the five director nominees named in this proxy statement (Proposal 1);
- Ratification of Ernst & Young, LLP (“EY”), as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2017 (Proposal 2);
- An advisory (non-binding) resolution to approve executive compensation (Proposal 3); and
- Approval of a charter amendment to repeal the Company’s election to be subject to Section 3-804(c) of the MGCL (Proposal 4).

Who can vote?

Holders of our common stock as of the close of business on the record date, March 20, 2017, are entitled to vote, either in person or by proxy, at the annual meeting. Each share of our common stock is entitled to one vote. Cumulative voting is not permitted in the election of directors.

How do I vote or authorize a proxy to vote my shares?

By Proxy. Before the annual meeting, you may authorize a proxy to vote your shares in one of the following ways:

- By telephone;
- By Internet; or
- By completing and signing your proxy card and mailing it in time to be received prior to the annual meeting.

The telephone and Internet voting procedures are designed to confirm your identity, to allow you to give your voting instructions and to verify that your instructions have been properly recorded. If you wish to vote by telephone or Internet, please follow the instructions that are included in the Notice of Internet Availability and the proxy card. If you mail us your properly completed and signed proxy card, or vote by telephone or Internet, your shares will be voted according to the choices that you specify.

If you sign and mail your proxy card without marking any choices, your proxy will be voted:

FOR the election of all director nominees named in this proxy statement (Proposal 1);

FOR the ratification of EY as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2017 (Proposal 2);

FOR the approval of an advisory (non-binding) resolution on executive compensation (Proposal 3);
and

FOR the approval of a charter amendment to repeal the Company’s election to be subject to Section 3-804(c) of the MGCL (Proposal 4).

We do not expect that any other matters will be brought before the annual meeting. If an issue should arise for vote at the annual meeting that is not included in the proxy material, the proxy holders will vote your shares in accordance with their discretion.

In Person. If your shares are registered directly in your name with our transfer agent, you are considered the stockholder of record with respect to those shares and you may attend the annual meeting and vote in person. If your shares are held in the name of your broker, bank or other nominee and you wish to attend the annual meeting, you must bring an account statement or letter from the nominee indicating that you were the owner of the shares on March 20, 2017. If your shares are held in the name of your broker, bank or other nominee and you wish to vote at the annual meeting, you must obtain a legal proxy from your broker, bank or other nominee that holds your shares giving you the right to those shares.

May I change or revoke my vote?

Yes. You may change your vote or revoke your proxy at any time prior to the annual meeting by:

- Notifying our Secretary in writing that you are revoking your proxy;
- Providing another signed proxy that is dated after the proxy you wish to revoke;
- Using the telephone or Internet voting procedures; or
- Attending the annual meeting and voting in person. Attendance at the annual meeting will not, by itself, revoke a duly executed proxy.

Will my shares be voted if I do not provide my proxy?

It depends on whether you hold your shares in your own name or in the name of a bank or brokerage firm. If you hold your shares directly in your own name, they will not be voted unless you provide a proxy or vote in person at the annual meeting.

Brokerage firms generally have the authority to vote customers' un-voted shares on certain "routine" matters. If your shares are held in the name of a brokerage firm, the brokerage firm can vote your shares for the ratification of EY as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2017 (Proposal 2) if you do not provide your voting instructions timely, because this matter is considered "routine" under the applicable rules. The other items (Proposals Nos. 1, 3 and 4) are not considered "routine" and therefore may not be voted by your broker without instruction.

What constitutes a quorum?

As of the record date, a total of 93,811,172 shares of our common stock were issued and outstanding and entitled to vote at the annual meeting. To conduct the annual meeting, a majority of the shares entitled to vote must be present in person or by proxy. This is referred to as a "quorum." If you submit a properly executed proxy card or authorize a proxy to vote by telephone or on the Internet, your shares will be counted for purposes of determining the presence of a quorum. Abstentions and broker "non-votes" will be counted as present and entitled to vote for purposes of determining a quorum. A broker "non-vote" occurs when a bank, broker or other nominee who holds shares for another person has not received voting instructions from the owner of the shares and, under the applicable rules, does not have discretionary authority to vote on a matter.

What vote is needed to approve the matters submitted?

Election of Directors (Proposal 1). Directors are elected by a plurality of the votes cast at the annual meeting. "Plurality" means that the nominees receiving the largest number of votes cast are elected as directors up to the maximum number of directors to be chosen at the meeting. For purposes of this vote, abstentions and broker non-votes will not be counted as votes cast and will have no effect on the result of the vote for this proposal. The Board has adopted a policy on voting regarding directors. Under the policy, at any meeting of stockholders at which members of the Board are to be elected by the stockholders in an uncontested election, any nominee for director who receives a greater number of votes "withheld" from his or her election than votes "for" his or her election will submit to the Board a written offer to resign from the Board no later than two weeks after the certification of the voting results. For additional information

regarding this policy, please see “The Board of Directors and Its Committees — Policy on Voting Regarding Directors.”

Ratification of Appointment of EY (Proposal 2). The affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast at the annual meeting is required to approve this proposal. For purposes of this vote, abstentions will not be counted as votes cast and will have no effect on the result of the vote for this proposal.

Approval on an Advisory (Non-Binding) Resolution on Executive Compensation (Proposal 3). The affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast at the annual meeting is required to approve this proposal. For purposes of this advisory vote, abstentions and broker non-votes will not be counted as votes cast and will have no effect on the result of the vote for this proposal.

Approval of a Charter Amendment to Repeal the Company’s Election to be Subject to Section 3-804(c) of the MGCL (Proposal 4). The affirmative vote of a majority of the votes entitled to be cast is required to approve this proposal. For purposes of this advisory vote, abstentions and broker non-votes will not be counted as votes cast and will have the effect of a vote against this proposal.

We are sending only a single Notice of Internet Availability to any household at which two or more stockholders reside if they share the same last name or we reasonably believe they are members of the same family, unless we have received instructions to the contrary from any stockholder at that address. This practice is known as “householding” and is permitted by rules adopted by the SEC. This practice reduces the volume of duplicate information received at your household and helps us to reduce costs. We will deliver promptly, upon written request or oral request, a separate copy of the Notice of Internet Availability to a stockholder at a shared address to which a single copy of the document was previously delivered. If you received a single copy of this document for your household for this year, but you would prefer to receive your own copy, you may direct requests for separate copies in the future to the following address: Summit Hotel Properties, Inc., Attention: Secretary, 12600 Hill Country Boulevard, Suite R-100, Austin, Texas 78738. If you are a stockholder who receives multiple copies of our proxy materials, you may request householding by contacting us in the same manner and requesting a householding consent form.

PROPOSAL 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Introduction

As of April 5, 2017, the Board has five members. The five persons named below, each of whom currently serves on the Board, have been recommended by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and nominated by our Board to serve on the Board until our 2018 annual meeting of stockholders and until their respective successors are duly elected and duly qualified. The Board has no reason to believe that any of the persons named below as a nominee for election to the Board will be unable, or will decline, to serve if elected. The Board has determined that each director nominee named below, except Mr. Hansen, is independent under applicable SEC and New York Stock Exchange (the “NYSE”) rules.

Recommendation

OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR EACH OF THE NOMINEES.

The following table sets forth the name, position with the Company and age (as of the annual meeting) of each nominee for election to the Board at the annual meeting:

Name	Position	Age
Daniel P. Hansen	Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer	48
Bjorn R. L. Hanson	Independent Director and Chair of Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee	65
Jeffrey W. Jones	Lead Independent Director and Chair of Audit Committee	55
Kenneth J. Kay	Independent Director and Chair of Compensation Committee	62
Thomas W. Storey	Independent Director	60

We believe that all of the nominees are intelligent, experienced, collegial, insightful and proactive with respect to management and risk oversight and that they exercise good judgment. The biographical descriptions below set forth certain information with respect to each nominee, including the experience, qualifications, attributes or skills of each nominee that led us to conclude that he should serve as a director, if elected.

Nominees

Daniel P. Hansen. Mr. Hansen has served as our President and Chief Executive Officer and been a member of the Board since our formation in June 2010. Mr. Hansen was appointed Chairman of the Board in January 2017. Prior to joining our company, Mr. Hansen served in various capacities at The Summit Group. Mr. Hansen joined The Summit Group in October 2003 as Vice President of Investor Relations. His responsibilities included leading the capital raising efforts for Summit Hotel Properties, LLC’s (“SHP LLC”) private placements of its equity securities and assisting in acquisition due diligence. In 2005, he was appointed to SHP LLC’s Board of Managers and was promoted to Executive Vice President. He was appointed President of The Summit Group and Chief Financial Officer of SHP LLC in 2008. His primary responsibilities included the development and execution of growth strategies for SHP LLC, raising equity capital and hotel development and acquisition. Prior to joining The Summit Group, Mr. Hansen spent 11 years with Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated (“Merrill Lynch”) in various leadership positions, culminating as a Vice President and Regional Sales Manager for Merrill Lynch in the Texas Mid-South Region. Mr. Hansen received a B.A. in economics from South Dakota State University.

The Board, upon the recommendation of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, has determined that Mr. Hansen should serve as a director, if elected, because he currently serves as our Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer and provides a critical link between the executive management team, which is responsible for the day-to-day management of the Company, and the Board. We believe his service as a director enables the Board to perform its oversight function with the benefits of management's perspectives on our business. Mr. Hansen also provides us with extensive experience in the hospitality industry as well as a capital markets background that assists the Board in analyzing capital raising opportunities and issues.

Bjorn R. L. Hanson. Dr. Hanson has been a member of the Board since the completion of our initial public offering, or IPO, in February 2011. Dr. Hanson served as our Lead Independent Director from June 2015 to January 2017. Dr. Hanson has worked in the hospitality industry for more than 40 years and has been involved in consulting and investment banking in the lodging sector. He joined the New York University School of Professional Studies in June 2008 as a clinical professor teaching in the school's graduate and undergraduate hospitality and tourism programs and directing applied research projects. In 2010, he was appointed as the divisional dean of that school's Preston Robert Tisch Center for Hospitality, Tourism, and Sports Management, which he served as until returning to his full time faculty role in 2014. Before joining the Tisch Center, Dr. Hanson was a partner with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP and its predecessor, Coopers & Lybrand LLP, which he joined in 1989. Dr. Hanson founded the hospitality, sports, convention and leisure practice and held various positions at PricewaterhouseCoopers and Coopers & Lybrand, including National Industry Chairman for the Hospitality Industries, National Service Line Director for Hospitality Consulting, National Industry Chairman for Real Estate, Real Estate Service Line Director and National Director of Appraisal Services. Additionally, he served on the U.S. leadership committee and global financial advisory services management committee of PricewaterhouseCoopers. Dr. Hanson was also managing director with two Wall Street firms, Kidder, Peabody & Co. and PaineWebber Inc., for which he led banking and research departments for lodging and gaming. Dr. Hanson received a B.S. from Cornell University School of Hotel Administration, an M.B.A. from Fordham University and a Ph.D. from New York University.

The Board, upon the recommendation of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, has determined that Dr. Hanson should serve as a director, if elected, because he brings a wide range of experience in consulting and investment banking in the hospitality industry to the Board. He also brings an academic perspective on the hospitality and tourism industries, which enhances the ability of the Board to analyze macroeconomic issues and trends relevant to our business. Finally, Dr. Hanson's leadership roles in market trend analysis, economic analysis and financial analysis specific to our industry provide the Board with additional depth in analyzing financial reporting issues faced by companies similar to ours.

Jeffrey W. Jones. Mr. Jones has been a member of the Board since July 2014 and was appointed Lead Independent Director in January 2017. From September 2003 to December 2012, Mr. Jones served as the Chief Financial Officer for Vail Resorts, Inc. (NYSE: MTN), a publicly held resort management company, and also served as a member of the board of directors of Vail Resorts, Inc. from June 2008 through December 2012. In addition, later in his tenure at Vail Resorts, Inc., Mr. Jones served as President - Lodging, Retail and Real Estate. Mr. Jones is also currently a member of the board of directors, chairs the audit committee and is a member of the compensation committee for Noodles & Company (NASDAQ: NDLS), a publicly held fast-casual restaurant chain. Mr. Jones also serves on the board of directors, chairs the audit and finance committee and is a member of the compensation committee of Hershey Entertainment and Resorts, a privately held entertainment and hospitality company. He is also a member of the US Bank Advisory Board and is a member of the board of the Leeds School of Business, University of Colorado Boulder. Prior to joining Vail Resorts, Inc., Mr. Jones held chief financial officer positions with Clark Retail Enterprises and Lids Corporation. Mr. Jones received a B.A. in Accounting and American Studies from Mercyhurst College and is a member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA).

The Board, upon the recommendation of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, has determined that Mr. Jones should serve as a director, if elected, because of his significant management, financial, hospitality industry and mergers and acquisition experience and expertise, which he has acquired through his fifteen years as a chief financial officer, including ten years as chief financial officer and four years as a director, as well as president of lodging, retail and real estate, of a publicly held resort management company. In addition, Mr. Jones's other experience as a public company director and audit committee member and chair provides the Board with perspective into corporate governance best practices.

Kenneth J. Kay. Mr. Kay has been a member of the Board since July 2014. Mr. Kay is currently the Chief Financial Officer of MGM Holdings, Inc. (the parent company of Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer, Inc.), a leading entertainment company focused on the production and global distribution of film and television content. Mr. Kay is also a Managing Director of Raven LLC, an investment and advisory services firm located in Las Vegas, Nevada, a position he has held since co-founding the firm in 2012. Raven LLC provides debt and equity capital and management consulting and advisory services to small and medium sized businesses. Previously, Mr. Kay held the position of Chief Financial Officer of Las Vegas Sands Corp. (“Las Vegas Sands”) (NYSE: LVS) from December 2008 to July 2013, where he was responsible for all worldwide financial, planning, procurement, technology and risk aspects of the company. Prior to working for Las Vegas Sands, Mr. Kay was Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of CB Richard Ellis Group, Inc. (“CBRE”) (NYSE: CBG), a global commercial real estate services firm, from June 2002 to December 2008. Mr. Kay began his career with PricewaterhouseCoopers, primarily focusing his efforts on large, publicly owned multinational companies. After leaving public accounting, his career included senior financial and operational roles at Ameron International, Systemed Inc., Universal Studios and, just prior to CBRE, as Chief Financial Officer of Dole Food Company, Inc. (formerly NYSE: DOLE). Mr. Kay is a member of the Board of Governors of Cedars Sinai Medical Center and The Board of Advisors of the USC Leventhal School of Accounting. Mr. Kay received a B.S. degree in accounting and an M.B.A. degree from the University of Southern California. Mr. Kay is a Chartered Global Management Accountant, a Certified Public Accountant and a member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants.

The Board, upon the recommendation of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, has determined that Mr. Kay should serve as a director, if elected, because of his professional background and experience, education, Fortune 500 senior-executive level positions in real estate and hospitality and his extensive finance, accounting, operational and mergers and acquisitions experience and expertise.

Thomas W. Storey. Mr. Storey has been a member of the Board since the completion of our IPO in February 2011. Mr. Storey served as our Non-Executive Chairman of the Board from July 2015 until January 2017. Mr. Storey has worked in the hospitality industry for more than 25 years. He was the President of Fairmont Hotels and Resorts and the Executive Vice President, Business Strategy for Fairmont Raffles Hotels International (“FRHI”), a leading global hotel company with over 125 hotels worldwide under the Fairmont, Raffles and Swissôtel brands, which Mr. Storey joined in 1999. Mr. Storey has worked for multiple hospitality companies in an executive capacity including Marriott Hotels and Resorts, Doubletree Hotels, Promus Hotels and Radisson Hotels, International. Mr. Storey has been a member of various hospitality industry organizations, including the American Hotel & Lodging Association, the Travel Industry Association of America, and Professional Conference and Meeting Planners. He has also served on multiple public and private boards including Candlewood Hotels, Legacy Hotels Real Estate Investment Trust, Travelclick, LLC and the Wall Street Institute. Mr. Storey received a B.A. in economics from Bates College and an M.B.A. from the Johnson School at Cornell University.

The Board, upon the recommendation of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, has determined that Mr. Storey should serve as a director, if elected, because he provides the Board with strategic vision specific to the hospitality industry. In addition, Mr. Storey has substantial leadership experience in the hospitality industry, including as Executive Vice President, Business Strategy of FRHI, where Mr. Storey was instrumental in helping lead that company through various lodging cycles. The Board expects Mr. Storey’s experience in analyzing and reacting to changing conditions in the hospitality industry will serve the Board. The Board also expects Mr. Storey’s operations experience with FRHI will help him provide valuable insights to the Board. Mr. Storey also possesses particular expertise in business travel, an important aspect of our business.

Senior Executive Officers

Daniel P. Hansen. Information regarding Daniel P. Hansen is described above under “Nominees.”

Craig J. Aniszewski. Mr. Aniszewski has served as our Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer since our formation in June 2010. Mr. Aniszewski joined The Summit Group in January 1997 as Vice President of Operations and Development. He became the Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of The Summit Group in 2007 and was a member of the Board of Managers of SHP LLC from January 2004 until February 2011. Mr. Aniszewski joined The Summit Group following 13 years with Marriott International, Inc., where he held sales and operations positions in full-service convention and resort hotels. During his career with Marriott, he also worked in the select-service sector, holding positions including the Director of Sales and General Manager for Residence Inn by Marriott and Courtyard by Marriott-branded hotels located in Florida, New York, Connecticut, Pennsylvania, Maryland and North Carolina. Mr. Aniszewski received a B.S. degree in criminal justice with minors in business and psychology from the University of Dayton.

Greg A. Dowell. Mr. Dowell has served as our Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer since October 2014. Prior to joining Summit, Mr. Dowell held the position of Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer at American Campus Communities (“ACC”) (NYSE: ACC). During his thirteen-year tenure, Mr. Dowell managed all aspects of operations, facilities management, human resources, information technology and various aspects of accounting and systems development. He played a key role in the development of ACC’s specialized operating platform which facilitated American Campus Communities becoming the first student housing REIT to be publicly traded in 2004. Following ACC’s initial public offering, Mr. Dowell orchestrated the successful integration of more than \$2.8 billion in asset growth and oversaw the management of over 44 million square feet of residential and mixed-use real estate comprised of over 300 communities. Prior to joining ACC, Mr. Dowell spent ten years in progressive capacities with Century Development where he began as an accountant and ultimately served as Senior Vice President of Management Services. Mr. Dowell received his B.S. in Accounting from the University of Louisiana at Lafayette and is a certified public accountant.

Christopher R. Eng. Mr. Eng has served as our Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary since our formation in June 2010. He was appointed Senior Vice President and Chief Risk Officer in May 2014, in addition to his roles as General Counsel and Secretary. In March 2016, he was appointed Executive Vice President in addition to his roles as General Counsel, Chief Risk Officer and Secretary. Mr. Eng was appointed Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary of The Summit Group and SHP LLC in 2004. Mr. Eng was responsible for The Summit Group’s legal affairs and for guiding its corporate compliance, focusing on real estate acquisitions and dispositions, franchise licensing, corporate insurance coverage, corporate governance and securities industry regulatory compliance. Prior to joining The Summit Group, Mr. Eng was an Assistant Vice President and Trust Officer for The First National Bank in Sioux Falls. Mr. Eng received B.A. degrees in history and English from Augustana College (S.D.) and a J.D. degree from the University of Denver College of Law.

Paul Ruiz. Mr. Ruiz has served as Summit’s Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer since April 2014. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Ruiz served in senior executive roles for companies in a variety of industries, including real estate and technology. From April 2013 to April 2014, he served as a consulting chief financial officer for Bridgepoint Consulting, a financial consulting firm in Austin, Texas. From February 2011 to April 2013, Mr. Ruiz was the Senior Director of Global Corporate Accounting for Freescale Semiconductor, Inc. n/k/a NXP Semiconductors N.V (NASDAQ: NXPI), a global semiconductor manufacturer. From April 2008 to January 2011, Mr. Ruiz was the Chief Financial Officer at Sensortran, Inc. (now part of Halliburton, Inc. – NYSE: HAL), a fiber-optics based technology company in Austin, Texas. He began his career with Pricewaterhouse Coopers where he was an audit manager, providing audit and business advisory services to entrepreneurial, rapidly growing and Fortune 500 companies. Mr. Ruiz graduated with highest honors from the University of Texas at Austin with a Bachelor of Business Administration in Accounting and earned his MBA from Baylor University. He is a Certified Public Accountant.

**PROPOSAL 2:
RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF ERNST & YOUNG, LLP (“EY”),
AS INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM OF
THE COMPANY FOR 2017**

Our Audit Committee selected the accounting firm of EY to serve as the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm for 2017. Although current laws, rules and regulations, as well as the Audit Committee charter, require the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm to be engaged, retained and supervised by the Audit Committee, the Board considers the appointment of the independent registered public accounting firm to be an important matter of stockholder concern and is submitting the appointment of EY for ratification by stockholders as a matter of good corporate practice. EY has served as the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm since March 2013. An EY representative will be present at the annual meeting and will be given the opportunity to make a statement if he or she so desires and will be available to respond to appropriate questions.

Recommendation:

**OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR
RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF EY AS INDEPENDENT REGISTERED
PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM OF THE COMPANY FOR 2017.**

PROPOSAL 3: ADVISORY (NON-BINDING) VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

In accordance with the requirements of Section 14A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”), we are providing stockholders with the opportunity to vote on an advisory (non-binding) resolution to approve the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in this proxy statement in accordance with the SEC’s rules. This is commonly known as, and is referred to in this proxy statement as, a “say-on-pay” proposal or resolution. The Board intends to hold an advisory (non-binding) vote on the compensation of our named executive officers each year.

This say-on-pay proposal gives our stockholders the opportunity to express their views on our named executive officers’ compensation. We are asking our stockholders to indicate their support for our named executive officers’ compensation as described in this proxy statement. This vote is not limited to any specific item of compensation, but rather addresses the overall compensation of our named executive officers and our philosophy, policies and practices relating to their compensation as described in this proxy statement in accordance with SEC rules.

As described in detail under the heading “Compensation Discussion and Analysis,” our executive compensation programs are designed to attract, retain and motivate our named executive officers, who are critical to our success. Our compensation program is designed to create incentives for our named executive officers to maximize long-term stockholder value. Under these programs, our named executive officers are rewarded for the achievement of our annual objectives, long-term and strategic objectives and the realization of increased stockholder value. Please refer to the “Compensation Discussion and Analysis” in this proxy statement for additional details about our executive compensation programs.

Accordingly, we are asking our stockholders to approve, in an advisory (non-binding) vote, the following resolution in respect of this Proposal 3:

“RESOLVED, that the stockholders of the Company approve, on an advisory (non-binding) basis, the compensation of the Company’s named executive officers as disclosed in this proxy statement pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, compensation tables and narrative disclosure.”

Recommendation:

OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR ADOPTION OF THIS RESOLUTION ON THE ADVISORY (NON-BINDING) VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION.

PROPOSAL 4: CHARTER AMENDMENT TO REPEAL THE COMPANY'S ELECTION TO BE SUBJECT TO SECTION 3-804(C) OF THE MGCL

The Board values the input and insights of our Company's stockholders and believes that effective stockholder engagement strengthens the Board's role as an informed and engaged fiduciary. The Board meaningfully integrates the feedback it receives from stockholders into the Board's review and assessment of our Company's corporate governance policies. At our 2016 annual meeting of stockholders, a stockholder proposal recommending that our Board take all steps necessary to cause the Company to opt out of all provisions of the Maryland's Unsolicited Takeover Act ("MUTA") and to require a majority vote of shareholders before opting back into MUTA received the affirmative vote of 54% of the votes cast on the proposal. In light of the support of our stockholders for the proposal, and based on discussions with our stockholders, the Board approved the Company's opting out of all provisions of MUTA and the Company is prohibited from opting back into any of MUTA's provisions without the prior approval of a majority vote of stockholders.

To begin such repeal and prohibition, the Company filed Articles Supplementary to the Company's Charter on May 26, 2016, as previously disclosed.

To complete such repeal and prohibition, an amendment to our Charter is required to eliminate our specific election to be subject to Section 3-804(c) of the MGCL. The Board is submitting the charter amendment as set forth as Appendix A to this proxy statement to the Company's stockholders for approval. If the charter amendment is approved, the Company will promptly file the amendment with the State Department of Assessments and Taxation of Maryland following our 2017 annual meeting of stockholders. The Board will also amend our Bylaws to prohibit the Company from opting into Section 3-804(c) without prior approval of a majority vote of stockholders. The approval of the charter amendment requires the affirmative vote of at least a majority of the votes entitled to be cast on the proposal.

We believe that opting out of Section 3-804(c) of the MGCL is in our best interests and in the best interests of our stockholders.

Recommendation:

OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR A CHARTER AMENDMENT TO REPEAL THE COMPANY'S ELECTION TO BE SUBJECT TO SECTION 3-804(C) OF THE MGCL.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE PRINCIPLES AND BOARD MATTERS

Our Board oversees our management and our Company's business for the benefit of our stockholders in order to enhance long-term stockholder value. The Board has adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines, which are reviewed annually and periodically amended by the Board to enhance our corporate governance principles. A current copy of the Corporate Governance Guidelines can be found under "Investor Relations — Corporate Overview — Governance Documents" on our website at www.shpreit.com.

The Board has also adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (the "Code of Ethics") that applies to all of our directors, officers and employees. The purpose of the Code of Ethics is to promote honest and ethical conduct and to promote compliance with all applicable rules and regulations that apply to our Company and our directors, officers and employees. A current copy of the Code of Ethics can be found under "Investor Relations — Corporate Overview — Governance Documents" on our website at www.shpreit.com. Any waiver of the Code of Ethics with respect to our executive officers or directors may be made only by the Board or one of our Board's committees and will be posted timely on our website.

Our Company was built on relationships with investors, lenders, investment banks, franchise brand companies and with our third party management companies. Management strives to operate our Company with passion, integrity and excellence. We are committed to being open and transparent about our business practices and performance and remaining accountable for our conduct. We are also committed to our stockholders to create long-term value. We follow through on our commitment to stockholders by implementing what we believe are sound corporate governance practices, including:

Recent Developments

- In May 2016, our Board unanimously approved a proposed charter amendment to eliminate our Company's specific election to be subject to Section 3-804(c) of the MGCL and recommended that our stockholders approve the amendment. See also Proposal 4 in this proxy statement.
- In May 2016, we opted out of four of the five provisions of MUTA, Section 3-803, 3-804(a), 3-804(b) and 3-805 of the MGCL. See also Proposal 4 in this proxy statement regarding the remaining steps to complete the opting out process of the fifth MUTA provision.

Board of Directors Structure

- All of our directors must be elected annually.
- Our directors are subject to a director resignation policy as part of our policy on voting procedures with respect to the election of directors in uncontested elections.
- Eighty percent (80%) of our directors are independent.
- All members of the three standing committees of the Board are independent.
- Our independent directors meet regularly without the presence of any of our officers or employees.
- Our independent directors designate an independent, non-employee director to serve as our Lead Independent Director.

Stock Ownership Guidelines

Based on current corporate governance standards, the Board believes all non-employee directors and certain key executive officers should own a meaningful equity interest in our Company to more closely align the interests of directors and executive officers with those of stockholders. Accordingly, the Board has adopted stock ownership guidelines for key executive officers and all non-employee directors.

For purposes of these guidelines, the term “Company common stock” includes, in addition to shares of our common stock, (a) any class of equity securities issued by our operating partnership, Summit Hotel OP, LP (the “Operating Partnership”), that are redeemable for shares of our common stock, whether held directly or indirectly, or by or for the benefit of, immediate family members, and (b) vested and unvested restricted shares of common stock, but excludes (x) stock options, whether exercisable or un-exercisable, (y) unearned performance-based restricted stock and (z) warrants and all other forms of derivative securities.

The Board reviews the minimum equity holdings guidelines for executive officers on a periodic basis to ensure the guidelines remain consistent with corporate governance best practices and continue to promote the alignment of executive and stockholder interests.

Over time, certain key executive officers are required to hold Company common stock with a value equal to a multiple of their then-current base salary. The equity ownership value for each of these executive officers will be calculated by multiplying the number of shares of Company common stock owned by the ten trading day trailing volume weighted average price (“VWAP”) of our common stock prior to the date of computation, typically at the end of the fiscal year. The executive officers named in the table below will have until January 1, 2018, to comply with the stock ownership guidelines. The equity ownership requirements for our key executive officers are as follows:

Name	Multiple of Base Salary
Daniel P. Hansen, President and Chief Executive Officer	6x
Greg A. Dowell, EVP & Chief Financial Officer	2x
Craig J. Aniszewski, EVP & Chief Operating Officer	2x
Christopher Eng, EVP & Chief Risk Officer	1x

Each non-employee director will be required to hold a number of shares of Company common stock equal to five times his annual cash retainer. The equity ownership value for each non-employee director will be calculated by multiplying the number of shares of Company common stock owned by the ten trading day trailing VWAP of our common stock prior to the date of computation, typically at the end of the fiscal year. Until such time as the required ownership level is achieved, each non-employee director is required to retain at least fifty percent (50%) of the net after-tax profit shares from vesting of equity awards. The Board reviews the minimum equity holdings guidelines for non-employee directors on a periodic basis to ensure the guidelines remain consistent with corporate governance best practices.

Anti-Hedging and Anti-Pledging Policies

The Board has adopted an insider trading policy that contains restrictions on hedging and pledging securities issued by us or our Operating Partnership. With respect to hedging, directors and executive officers are prohibited from engaging in any hedging or monetization transactions involving securities issued by us or our Operating Partnership. With respect to pledging, directors and executive officers are prohibited from holding securities issued by us or our Operating Partnership in a margin account or pledging these securities as collateral for a loan. An exception to this anti-pledging policy may be granted if a director or executive officer desires to pledge securities

issued by us or our Operating Partnership as collateral for a loan other than margin debt and clearly demonstrates the financial capacity to repay the loan without resort to the pledged securities. Any permitted pledge of securities must be pre-approved by our General Counsel. No director or executive officer has pledged securities as collateral for a loan.

Compensation Clawback Policy

The Board has adopted a compensation clawback policy that contains terms to ensure that executives are not unduly enriched in the event of a financial restatement. If our Company is required to restate its audited, consolidated financial results due to material non-compliance with financial requirements under securities laws as a result of intentional misconduct, fraud or gross negligence, each executive that is directly responsible for the intentional misconduct, fraud or gross negligence shall reimburse us for the after-tax value of the incentive compensation that would not have been earned if the restated financial information had been reported initially. In addition, the Board may withhold from executives not directly responsible for the intentional misconduct, fraud or gross negligence future awards with equivalent value to that of the after-tax value of the awards initially made to such executive on the basis of the restated financial results, but only to the extent such awards were made within the preceding 24 months.

Succession Planning

Our Board of Directors has established a succession policy for the Chief Executive Officer to cover emergency and other possible occurrences resulting in a vacancy in the position of Chief Executive Officer.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND ITS COMMITTEES

We are managed under the direction of our Board. Our directors are: Daniel P. Hansen, Dr. Bjorn R. L. Hansen, Jeffrey W. Jones, Kenneth J. Kay and Thomas W. Storey. Mr. Hansen is the Chairman of the Board and Mr. Jones is our lead independent director (“Lead Independent Director”). Each of our directors stands for election annually.

Independence of Directors

Our Corporate Governance Guidelines require that a majority of our directors be “independent,” with independence determined in accordance with the applicable standards of the NYSE. The Board may determine a director to be independent if the Board has affirmatively determined that the director has no material relationship with us or our subsidiaries, either directly, or as a stockholder, director, officer or employee of an organization that has a relationship with us or our subsidiaries. The Board has determined that Dr. Hansen, Mr. Jones, Mr. Kay and Mr. Storey have no material relationship with us or our subsidiaries and therefore, are independent under the applicable standards of the NYSE. As a result, a majority of our directors are independent. Mr. Hansen serves as an executive officer and is not considered independent under the applicable standards of the NYSE.

Committees

The Board has established an Audit Committee, Compensation Committee and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, and has adopted a written charter for each committee. A copy of each committee charter is available on our website at www.shpreit.com under the heading “Investor Relations – Corporate Overview – Governance Documents.”

Audit Committee

The members of the Audit Committee are Mr. Jones (Chair), Dr. Hansen, Mr. Kay and Mr. Storey. Each member has been determined to be “independent” within the meaning of the applicable standards of the NYSE and Rule 10A-3 of the Exchange Act. In addition, each member meets the financial literacy requirements for audit committee membership under the NYSE’s rules and the rules and regulations of the SEC. The Board has determined that Dr. Hansen, Mr. Jones and Mr. Kay each is an “audit committee financial expert” as such term is defined in Item 407(d)(5)(ii) of Regulation S-K.

The Audit Committee is responsible for reviewing and discussing with management and our independent public accountants our annual and quarterly financial statements, engaging independent public accountants, reviewing with the independent public accountants the plans and results of the audit engagement, approving professional services provided by the independent public accountants, reviewing the performance and independence of the independent public accountants, considering audit and non-audit fees and reviewing the adequacy of our internal accounting controls, our internal audit functions and Sarbanes-Oxley Act compliance. The Audit Committee has the power to investigate any matter brought to its attention within the scope of its duties and to retain counsel or outside advisors for this purpose where appropriate. Additionally, the Audit Committee is responsible for monitoring our procedures for compliance with the rules for taxation as a real estate investment trust (“REIT”) under Sections 856-860 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.

Compensation Committee

The members of the Compensation Committee are Mr. Kay (Chair), Dr. Hansen, Mr. Jones and Mr. Storey. Each member has been determined to be “independent” within the meaning of the applicable standards of the NYSE. Each member of the Compensation Committee qualifies as an “outside director” as such term is defined under Section 162(m) of the Code and as a “non-employee director” for purposes of Rule 16b-3 of the Exchange Act.

The Compensation Committee exercises all powers delegated to it by the Board in connection with compensation matters. The Compensation Committee is responsible for the review and approval of the compensation and benefits of our executive officers, administration and recommendations to the Board regarding

our compensation and long-term incentive plans, and production of the annual reports on executive compensation for inclusion in our proxy statements. In connection with those responsibilities, the Compensation Committee has the sole authority to retain and terminate compensation consultants employed by it to help evaluate our compensation programs. The Compensation Committee also has authority to grant awards under the Company's 2011 Equity Incentive Plan as Amended and Restated ("Equity Incentive Plan").

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

The members of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee are Dr. Hanson (Chair), Mr. Kay and Mr. Storey. Each member has been determined to be "independent" within the meaning of the applicable standards of the NYSE.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is responsible for monitoring our compliance with corporate governance requirements of state and federal law, and the rules and regulations of the NYSE; developing and recommending to the Board criteria for prospective members of the Board; conducting Board candidate searches and interviews; overseeing and evaluating the Board and management; monitoring compliance with our Code of Ethics and policies with respect to conflicts of interest; reviewing and approving interested transactions pursuant to our related party transaction policy; periodically evaluating the appropriate size and composition of the Board, recommending, as appropriate, increases, decreases and changes in the composition of the Board; and formally proposing the slate of nominees for election as directors at each annual meeting of our stockholders. In addition, this committee annually facilitates the evaluation of each Committee and the Board's performance as a whole and of the individual directors and reports thereon to the Board.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

The Compensation Committee consists of Dr. Hanson, Mr. Jones, Mr. Kay and Mr. Storey. None of the members are, or have been one of, our employees or officers. None of our executive officers currently serves, or during the past fiscal year has served, as a member of the board of directors or compensation committee of another entity that has one or more executive officers serving on our Board or Compensation Committee.

Board Leadership Structure

The Board believes it is important to retain the flexibility to allocate the responsibilities of the positions of the Chairman of the Board and the Chief Executive Officer in the way it believes is in our best interest. Currently, the Board believes that it is in our best interest not to separate these positions. Mr. Hansen, our Chief Executive Officer, was appointed Chairman of the Board and assumed the role effective January 2017. Mr. Storey served as our Non-Executive Chairman from July 2015 until Mr. Hansen's appointment.

To promote the independence of the Board and appropriate oversight of management and to demonstrate our commitment to strong corporate governance, the independent directors have designated an independent, non-employee director to serve as our Lead Independent Director. The Lead Independent Director helps to facilitate free and open discussion and communication among the independent, non-employee directors. The responsibilities of the Lead Independent Director are set forth in our Corporate Governance Guidelines. Dr. Hanson was our Lead Independent Director in 2016. Mr. Jones was appointed Lead Independent Director and assumed the role effective January 2017.

Risk Management Oversight

The Board is actively involved in the oversight of risks that could affect our Company. This oversight is conducted primarily through the Audit Committee but also through the other committees of the Board, as appropriate. The Board and its committees satisfy this responsibility through reports by each committee chair regarding the committee's considerations and actions, as well as through regular reports directly from officers responsible for oversight of particular risks within our Company.

Meetings and Attendance

In 2016, the Board met four times in person and five times telephonically, the Audit Committee met four times in person and three times telephonically, the Compensation Committee met four times in person and one time telephonically, and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee met four times in person. Each of our currently serving directors attended at least 75% of the aggregate meetings of the Board and the committees of the Board on which he served during 2016.

Executive Sessions of Our Independent Directors

As required by the NYSE rules, the non-employee directors, all of whom are independent under the applicable standards of the NYSE, regularly meet in executive session, without management present. Generally, these executive sessions follow regularly scheduled meetings of the Board and the three standing committees. The independent, non-employee directors met in executive session following regularly scheduled meetings in 2016. Mr. Storey or Dr. Hanson presided over the executive sessions of the Board, and the respective Chair of each committee presided over each such committee's executive sessions.

Director Nominations

Before each annual meeting of stockholders, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considers the nomination of all directors who are up for election at the next annual meeting of stockholders and also considers new candidates whenever there is a vacancy on the Board, or whenever a vacancy is anticipated due to a change in the size or composition of the Board, a retirement of a director or for any other reason. In addition to considering incumbent directors, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee may identify director candidates based on recommendations from the directors, stockholders, management and others.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee works with the Board to determine the appropriate characteristics, skills and experience for the Board as a whole and its individual members. In evaluating the suitability of individuals for Board membership, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee takes into account many factors, including, but not limited to:

- whether the individual meets the requirements for independence;
- the individual's general understanding of the various disciplines relevant to the success of a large publicly-traded company in today's business environment;
- the individual's understanding of the Company's businesses and markets;
- the individual's professional expertise and educational background; and
- other factors that promote diversity of views and experience.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee evaluates each individual in the context of the Board as a whole, with the objective of recommending a group of directors that can best perpetuate the success of our business and represent stockholder interests through the exercise of sound judgment, using its diversity of experience. In determining whether to recommend a director for re-election, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee also considers the director's past attendance at meetings and participation in and contributions to the activities of the Board.

We do not have a formal policy about diversity of Board membership, but the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considers a broad range of factors when nominating director candidates to the Board, including differences of viewpoint, professional experience, education, skill, other personal qualities and attributes, race, gender and national origin. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee neither includes nor excludes any candidate from consideration solely based on the candidate's diversity traits.

For purposes of the 2018 annual meeting of stockholders, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will consider appropriate nominees for directors whose names are submitted in writing by a stockholder. Subject to the discretion of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, we anticipate that any director candidates submitted to us by our stockholders will be evaluated by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee on the same basis as any other director.

Policy on Voting Regarding Directors

The Board has adopted a policy on voting regarding directors. Under the policy, at any meeting of stockholders at which members of the Board are to be elected by the stockholders in an uncontested election, any nominee for director who receives a greater number of votes “withheld” from his or her election than votes “for” his or her election will submit to the Board a written offer to resign from the Board no later than two weeks after the certification of the voting results. An uncontested election is one in which the number of individuals who have been nominated for election as a director is equal to, or less than, the number of directors to be elected.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will consider the resignation offer and, within 60 days after the certification of the voting results, recommend to the Board whether to accept or reject the resignation offer. In determining its recommendation to the Board, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will consider all factors it deems relevant, which may include, (i) any stated reason or reasons why stockholders cast “withheld” votes for the director, (ii) the qualifications of the director, and (iii) whether the director’s resignation from the Board would be in our best interest and the best interests of our stockholders. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee may also consider alternatives to acceptance or rejection of the resignation offer as the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee members deem appropriate.

The Board will act on the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee’s recommendation no later than 90 days after the certification of the voting results. The Board will consider the information, factors and alternatives considered by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and additional information, factors and alternatives the Board deems relevant. The recommendation of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will not be binding on the Board.

Any director who offers to resign as provided above will not participate in the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee’s or the Board’s consideration of whether to accept his or her resignation offer.

If a director’s resignation offer is accepted by the Board, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will recommend to the Board whether to fill the vacancy created by such resignation or to reduce the number of directors constituting the Board.

If a majority of the members of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee were required to offer their resignations, the remaining independent directors will appoint a special committee of the Board to consider the resignation offers and whether to accept the resignation offers.

We will publicly disclose the Board’s decision in a Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC, including an explanation of the process by which the decision was made and, if applicable, the reasons for rejecting the resignation offer(s).

Communication with the Board

Stockholders and other interested parties who wish to communicate with the Board, including any non-employee director, or the non-employee directors as a group, or any individual director or directors, may do so by writing to the Chair of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, Board of Directors of Summit Hotel Properties, Inc., 12600 Hill Country Boulevard, Suite R-100, Austin, Texas 78738. The independent, non-employee directors have procedures for the handling of communications from stockholders and other interested parties and have directed our Secretary to act as their agent in processing any communications received. All communications that relate to matters within the scope of the responsibilities of the Board and its standing committees are to be forwarded to the Chair of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. Communications that relate to matters that are within the scope of the responsibilities of one of the Board’s standing committees are also to be forwarded to the chair of the appropriate committee. Communications that relate to ordinary business matters that

are not within the scope of the responsibilities of the Board are to be sent to the appropriate member of management. Solicitations, junk mail and obviously frivolous or inappropriate communications will not be forwarded, but will be made available to any non-employee director who wishes to review them.

Policy Governing Director Attendance at Annual Meetings of Stockholders

We expect each of our directors to attend the annual meeting unless doing so would be impracticable due to unavoidable conflicts. In 2016, all of our directors attended our annual meeting.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

Directors who are our employees (Mr. Hansen) do not receive compensation for their services as directors. For 2016, our non-employee director compensation program consisted of the following, which remains unchanged for 2017, unless otherwise noted:

- **Annual Cash Retainer.** We paid an annual cash retainer of \$50,000 to each non-employee director.
- **Lead Independent Director Fee.** We paid our Lead Independent Director a \$20,000 Lead Independent Director fee.
- **Non-Executive Chairman Fee.** We paid our former Non-Executive Chairman a \$20,000 Non-Executive Chairman fee. Because in 2017 our Chairman is an executive officer, a Non-Executive Chairman Fee will not be paid in 2017.
- **Additional Committee Membership Fee.** We paid an additional fee for membership on each of our standing committees, as follows: each member of the Audit Committee was paid \$12,500, each member of the Compensation Committee was paid \$10,000 and each member of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee was paid \$7,500. The chair of each respective committee is not paid a committee membership fee.
- **Additional Committee Chairperson Fee.** In lieu of the membership fee, we paid the Chairs of each of our standing committees an additional fee, as follows: the Chair of the Audit Committee was paid \$25,000, the Chair of the Compensation Committee was paid \$20,000 and the Chair of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee was paid \$15,000.
- **Annual Equity Award.** Following the 2016 annual meeting of stockholders, we granted each non-employee director an equity award consisting of 8,045 shares of our common stock with an aggregate value of approximately \$100,000 (the number of shares awarded to each non-employee director was determined by dividing \$100,000 by the VWAP of our common stock on the NYSE for the ten trading days preceding the grant date). These shares were granted on May 19, 2016, pursuant to our Equity Incentive Plan and were fully vested on the grant date.
- **Election to Receive Stock in Lieu of Cash Fees.** Non-employee directors have the option to elect to receive all or any part of the cash fees we are required to pay them in fully vested shares of our common stock issued under our Equity Incentive Plan based upon the VWAP of our common stock on the NYSE for the ten trading days preceding the grant date. In 2016, Mr. Storey was entitled to a total of \$95,000 in cash fees for his services as a director. Mr. Storey elected to receive these fees in shares of our common stock in lieu of cash. Accordingly, we issued an aggregate of 7,618 shares of our common stock to Mr. Storey.

We reimburse our non-employee directors for reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection with the performance of their duties as directors, including without limitation, travel expenses in connection with their attendance at in-person board and committee meetings. Non-employee directors do not receive any meeting fees for attending meetings of the Board or its committees.

The table below summarizes the compensation paid by us to our non-employee directors for 2016.

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)(2)	Total (\$)
Bjorn R. L. Hanson	110,000	92,678	202,678
Thomas W. Storey	(1)	186,570	186,570
Jeffrey W. Jones	92,500	92,678	185,178
Kenneth J. Kay	90,000	92,678	182,678

- (1) Mr. Storey was entitled to receive an aggregate of \$95,000 in director fees in 2016. However, Mr. Storey elected to receive these fees in shares of our common stock in lieu of cash.
- (2) Amounts reflect the aggregate grant date fair value, which has been calculated in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) 718, compensation of the stock awards granted to each of our non-employee directors in 2016. These stock awards are described in the table appearing below.

Grant Date	Grantee	Number of Shares (#)	Aggregate Grant Date Fair Value (\$)	Reason for Grant
February 18, 2016	Thomas W. Storey	2,062	21,115	Election to receive stock in lieu of cash director fees
May 19, 2016	Bjorn R. L. Hanson	8,045	92,678	Annual equity award
May 19, 2016	Thomas W. Storey	8,045	92,678	Annual equity award
May 19, 2016	Jeffrey W. Jones	8,045	92,678	Annual equity award
May 19, 2016	Kenneth J. Kay	8,045	92,678	Annual equity award
May 19, 2016	Thomas W. Storey	2,011	23,167	Election to receive stock in lieu of cash director fees
August 18, 2016	Thomas W. Storey	1,778	24,554	Election to receive stock in lieu of cash director fees
December 1, 2016	Thomas W. Storey	1,767	25,056	Election to receive stock in lieu of cash director fees

All shares of common stock granted to our non-employee directors in 2016 were fully vested on the grant date and were issued pursuant to our Equity Incentive Plan. The Aggregate Grant Date Fair Value of the stock awards has been calculated based on the market value of our common stock on the date of grant.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis (“CD&A”) provides you with information on the Company’s executive compensation programs and practices, and the decisions that the Compensation Committee has made under the program. This CD&A focuses on compensation of our named executive officers for 2016, which were:

Name	Title
Daniel P. Hansen	President and Chief Executive Officer
Craig J. Aniszewski	Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer
Greg A. Dowell	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Christopher R. Eng	Executive Vice President, General Counsel, Chief Risk Officer and Secretary
Paul Ruiz	Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer

Executive Summary

2016 Company Highlights

Our diverse portfolio of premium select-service hotels performed exceptionally well in 2016, with growth in key financial metrics and continuation of our track record of outperformance versus market indices. In each of the last five years, our portfolio has outperformed the Smith Travel Research upscale revenue per available room (“RevPAR”) growth rate by an average of nearly 200 basis points. This track record of outperformance demonstrates our ability to actively manage the portfolio and drive shareholder value.

Notable accomplishments in 2016 include:

- Same-store RevPAR grew to \$107.83, an increase of 3.3% over the same period in 2015.
- Adjusted funds from operations (“AFFO”) increased to \$123.8 million, or \$1.41 per diluted share, an increase in AFFO per diluted share of 13.1% over the same period in 2015. A reconciliation of funds from operations (“FFO”) and AFFO to our generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”) net income for the year ended December 31, 2016, is included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on February 23, 2017, with the SEC (“Annual Report”).
- Adjusted earnings before income taxes, depreciation and amortization (“Adjusted EBITDA”) increased to \$166.5 million from \$153.6 million in 2015, an increase of 8.4%. A reconciliation of earnings before income taxes, depreciation and amortization (“EBITDA”) and Adjusted EBITDA to our net income in accordance with GAAP for the year ended December 31, 2016, is included in our Annual Report.
- In accordance with our strategic business plan to create long-term stockholder value, we acquired four hotels containing 749 guestrooms, for a total purchase price of \$244.2 million, with an average RevPAR of \$156.13 per hotel and we disposed of ten hotels containing 1,212 guestrooms, for an aggregate sales price of \$147.3 million, with an average RevPAR of \$85.43 per hotel.
- We announced common dividend increases in April and October of 12.8% and 22.6% respectively, resulting in an annualized dividend rate of \$0.65 per share.
- We achieved a total stockholder return (“TSR”) of 40.2% compared to a TSR of 23.9% for the SNL U.S. REIT Hotel Index (“REIT Index”). We achieved a 3-year TSR of 101.94% compared to a TSR of 26.55% for the REIT Index.
- In October 2016, we redeemed all 2,000,000 shares of our issued and outstanding 9.25% Series A Cumulative Preferred Stock for approximately \$50.0 million.
- In June 2016, we issued 3,000,000 shares of 6.45% Series D Cumulative Preferred Stock for gross proceeds of \$75.0 million.

2016 Compensation Highlights

Our executive compensation program consists of base salary, annual cash incentive compensation opportunities and annual long-term equity incentive grants. Our program is designed to be straightforward, transparent and market-based and to comply with sound corporate governance practices.

The chart below contains the key components of our executive compensation program, the purpose of each component and the process for determining each component.

Compensation Component	Description and Objectives	2016 Highlights
Base Salary	Fixed cash compensation set at a level reflective of each executive's performance, market conditions, and competitive rates.	The Compensation Committee increased base salaries of each of the named executive officers in 2016 to bring them closer to market-competitive levels.
Annual Cash Incentive Compensation	Performance-based cash incentive that rewards achievement of annual company-specific and individual performance objectives.	2016 annual cash incentives were tied to AFFO per share and RevPAR growth, as well as individual performance goals.
Long-Term Equity Incentives	Equity incentives that align executive compensation with total stockholder return over multi-year performance and vesting periods that encourage the retention of key talent.	In 2016, 60% of each executive's long-term equity award was in the form of performance-based stock awards that may be earned from 0 to 200% of the target number of shares based on our relative TSR percentile rank versus certain companies in the REIT Index over a three-year performance period. The remaining 40 percent of each executive's long-term equity award was in the form of time-based stock awards, vesting 25%, 25% and 50% on the one-year, two-year and three-year anniversaries, respectively, of the grant date. Because our 2016 TSR exceeded the REIT Index, certain previously granted performance-based restricted stock awards were earned. See Footnote (3) under "Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End".

Compensation Best Practice Policies

We maintain several stockholder-friendly compensation policies, including stock ownership guidelines, a compensation clawback policy, and anti-hedging and anti-pledging policies (refer to "Corporate Governance Principles and Board Matters" for more detail on these policies). In addition, our employment agreements with executive officers have non-compete provisions, require a double trigger for any severance payouts in connection with a change-in-control and do not provide excise tax gross-up payments.

Results of Stockholder Say-on-Pay Votes

Each year, the Compensation Committee considers the outcome of the stockholder advisory (non-binding) vote on executive compensation when making decisions relating to the compensation of our named executive officers and our executive compensation program design, structure and policies.

In 2016, stockholders continued their significant support for our executive compensation program with over 99% of the votes cast in favor of the "say-on-pay" proposal at our 2016 annual meeting of stockholders. The Compensation Committee believes that the voting results, together with the over 94% approval received over the last

three years, conveyed our stockholders' strong support of the philosophy, design and structure of our executive compensation program. The Compensation Committee will continue to consider the results of the stockholders' say-on-pay votes when making decisions about our executive compensation program.

Objectives of Our Executive Compensation Program

Our executive compensation philosophy is designed to promote the following objectives:

- Assist in attracting and retaining talented and well-qualified executives by offering compensation opportunities competitive with other lodging REITs;
- Motivate our executives to manage our business to meet our near-, medium- and long-term objectives and reward them for meeting these objectives and in the case of exceptional performance;
- Align the interest of our executives and stockholders by motivating executives to increase stockholder value and rewarding executives when stockholder value increases; and
- Maintain high standards of corporate governance.

Compensation Decision-Making Process

Role of the Compensation Committee and Management

The Compensation Committee is responsible for determining the amount and composition of compensation paid to our Chief Executive Officer and all other executive officers. The Compensation Committee exercises its independent judgment in reviewing and approving the executive compensation program as a whole, as well as specific compensation levels for each executive officer. Additionally, the Compensation Committee reviews and considers the recommendations of Mr. Hansen with respect to compensation decisions of our named executive officers other than himself. The Compensation Committee believes it is valuable to consider the recommendations of Mr. Hansen with respect to these matters because, given his knowledge of our operations and the day-to-day responsibilities of our executive officers, he is in a unique position to provide the Compensation Committee perspective into the performance of our executive officers in light of our business at a given point in time.

Role of the Compensation Consultant

The Compensation Committee has retained Frederic W. Cook & Co, Inc. ("FW Cook") as its independent compensation consultant. The Compensation Committee has determined that FW Cook meets the criteria for an independent consultant in accordance with SEC guidelines for such service. FW Cook reports directly to the Compensation Committee and works with management only at the request and under the direction of the Compensation Committee. To assist the Compensation Committee in the development of our 2016 compensation program, FW Cook conducted a comprehensive review of our executive compensation program in terms of the competitiveness of our target compensation opportunities and the appropriateness of our incentive programs in supporting our business strategy. On March 8, 2016, the Compensation Committee, based on the recommendations of FW Cook, established the terms of our 2016 executive compensation program.

Peer Group Information

In general, the Compensation Committee uses comparative data from various companies in certain peer groups as a guide in its review and determination of base salaries, annual cash bonuses and annual equity awards.

In late 2015, the Compensation Committee, with the help of FW Cook, determined the composition and the criteria and data used in compiling the peer group used to evaluate the competitiveness of our existing executive compensation program. The peer group consisted of 12 public REITs that primarily invest in hotels, and four other public REITs outside of the hotel sector, which were comparable in terms of market capitalization size and executive team members that the Compensation Committee and FW Cook considered to be the most relevant peers. For purposes of making executive compensation decisions for target pay opportunities for 2016, our peer group consisted of the following companies:

Ashford Hospitality Trust, Inc.
 Chatham Lodging Trust
 Chesapeake Lodging Trust
 DiamondRock Hospitality Company
 FelCor Lodging Trust
 First Potomac Realty Trust
 Hersha Hospitality Trust
 LaSalle Hotel Properties

Pebblebrook Hotel Trust
 RLJ Lodging Trust
 Ryman Hospitality Properties, Inc.
 Rouse Properties
 STAG Industrial, Inc.
 Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc.
 Terreno Realty Corporation
 Xenia Hotels & Resorts, Inc.

In late 2016, FW Cook reviewed our peer group to determine whether it remained reasonable for future executive compensation comparisons. For year-over-year consistency, FW Cook recommended and the Compensation Committee approved using the same peer group, as adjusted for merger and acquisition transactions. One company, Rouse Properties, was acquired and therefore was removed from the peer group.

Elements of 2016 Executive Compensation

2016 Target Direct Compensation (“TDC”)

Total TDC for 2016 is described below and consists of salary, annual cash incentives and long-term equity incentives. The Compensation Committee considered the mix of the three components of TDC and intentionally weighted the long-term equity incentive component the highest to align executives’ compensation with long-term stockholder value. The Company’s TDC mix is generally consistent with the mix of TDC used by companies in members in our peer group.

Name	Salary (\$)	Annual Cash Incentive (\$) (1)	Long-Term Incentives (\$) (2)	Total Target Compensation (\$)
Daniel P. Hansen	700,000	1,050,000	2,400,000	4,150,000
Craig J. Aniszewski	430,000	430,000	1,000,000	1,860,000
Greg A. Dowell	375,000	375,000	750,000	1,500,000
Christopher R. Eng	300,000	300,000	300,000	900,000
Paul Ruiz	275,000	193,000	200,000	667,500

- (1) This reflects the “target” level payout of our annual cash incentive award program.
- (2) This reflects the “target” level value of long-term incentive awards consisting 60% of performance share awards and 40% of time based awards. The Compensation Committee determines the intended target dollar value. The number of shares issued to each executive is determined by dividing the value of the award by the average of the trailing 10-day VWAP of our common stock prior to the date of grant.

2016 Target Annual Compensation (“TAC”)

Total TAC for 2016 is described below and consists of salary and annual cash incentives. For 2016, the Compensation Committee implemented a plan to compensate our executives at comparable levels to our peer group. TAC for our executives as compared to members of our peer group is as follows:

Name	2016 TAC	Comparison to Peer Group
Daniel P. Hansen	\$1,750,000	At the median
Craig J. Aniszewski	\$860,000	Approximately at the median
Greg A. Dowell	\$750,000	Approximately at the median
Christopher R. Eng	\$600,000	Below the 25 th percentile
Paul Ruiz	\$468,000	Between the median and 75 th percentile

2016 Compensation Elements

Our compensation program seeks to promote our compensation philosophy and objectives through an appropriate mix of three core elements of compensation: Base Salary, Cash Incentive Compensation and Long-Term Incentive Compensation.

1. Base Salary

Base salaries are designed to provide a fixed level of compensation that serves as a retention tool. Base salaries are reviewed annually by the Compensation Committee and are subject to annual increases at the discretion of the Compensation Committee. In determining base salary increases for 2016, the Compensation Committee considered each executive officer's individual performance and corporate performance, as well as our company's overall performance, market conditions and competitive salary information for the peer group described above. FW Cook's competitive analysis indicated that 2015 base salaries for our named executive officers were below the peer group median, and in some cases below the peer group 25th percentile. Based on the competitive review as well as the factors outlined above, the Compensation Committee increased base salaries for 2016 as follows:

Name	2016	2015	Percentage Increase
Daniel P. Hansen	\$700,000	\$575,000	22%
Craig J. Aniszewski	\$430,000	\$375,000	15%
Greg A. Dowell	\$375,000	\$360,000	4%
Christopher R. Eng	\$300,000	\$260,000	15%
Paul Ruiz	\$275,000	\$260,000	6%

2. Cash Incentive Compensation Program

Our 2016 annual incentive program was designed to provide the named executive officers and opportunity to earn cash compensation based on the achievement of company-specific performance goals and the executive's achievement of individual performance goals.

The performance measures and weightings established by our Compensation Committee for 2016 under our cash incentive compensation program are set forth below.

Corporate Performance Measure	Weight	Threshold	Target	Maximum	Actual Company/Individual Results
2016 AFFO per share	60%	\$1.27	\$1.34	\$1.41	\$1.41
2016 RevPAR Growth	20%	3.25%	4.25%	5.25%	3.30%
Individual Performance	20%	<i>Specific for each individual</i>	<i>Specific for each individual</i>	<i>Specific for each individual</i>	*

* The amount earned, if any, under the Individual Performance component was determined by the Compensation Committee, in its sole discretion, based on the Compensation Committee's evaluation of the executive's performance against quantitative and qualitative objectives set forth at the beginning of the year, as well as assessment of the individual's contributions to the Company during 2016. In determining whether the Individual Performance component had been earned, the Compensation Committee did not rely on any one particular objective or formula but rather on a holistic evaluation of the individual's performance. No executive officer was guaranteed an award under the Individual Performance component. Payouts under the Individual Performance component could range from 15% of base salary to 60% of base salary for Mr. Hansen; 10% of base salary to 40% of base salary for Messrs. Aniszewski, Dowell and Eng; and 7% of base salary to 28% of base salary for Mr. Ruiz.

For purposes of the 2016 annual incentive program AFFO per share was calculated as our net income or loss per share as set forth in our audited consolidated financial statements for the year ending December 31, 2016, less preferred dividends and excluding gains (or losses) from sales of property and impairment losses, plus depreciation and amortization (including amortization of deferred financing costs and amortization of franchise royalty fees), as further adjusted to exclude hotel transaction and pursuit costs and such other items, including nonrecurring expenses, as the Compensation Committee determined appropriate and consistent with the purpose and intent of the incentive awards. A reconciliation of AFFO to our GAAP net income for the year ended December 31, 2016, is set forth in our Annual Report. The 2016 RevPAR component was calculated as a year-over-year comparison of RevPAR for our same store hotels (hotels owned for the entire year ended December 31, 2015, and the entire year ending December 31, 2016, excluding assets held for sale).

For the 2016 Individual Performance component of the annual incentive program, the Compensation Committee established individual performance metrics for the named executive officers in the first quarter of 2016. Objectives were personal to each executive and varied based upon the executive's position and responsibilities as they related to the Company's overall business plan. A summary of each executive's objectives are as follows:

- Mr. Hansen's objectives primarily involved broadening the Company's investor base, identifying strategic value creation opportunities and updating the Company's succession plans;
- Mr. Aniszewski's objectives primarily involved maintaining a RevPAR index of 110% for our same store hotels, increasing GOP margin, and implementation of a corporate social media and digital strategy;
- Mr. Dowell's objectives primarily involved managing the implementation of a cyber security analysis and response plan, improving system integration to align our accounting, operations and finance departments and creation of a hybrid model for internal audit consisting of both external and internal resources;
- Mr. Eng's objectives primarily involved creation of a new records retention policy, updating the Company's risk, communication and crisis planning manuals, and contribution to the implementation of a cyber-security analysis and response plan; and
- Mr. Ruiz's objectives primarily involved successfully implementing a new reporting system to further automate SEC reporting documentation and SOX control documentation, reducing overall Company expense on use of outside vendors for accounting and compliance services, and the completion of the integration of tax planning systems and strategies.

The annual incentive opportunity ranges for 2016, and the actual cash incentive compensation earned and paid for 2016 performance as a percentage of base salary, were as follows:

Name	2016 Cash Incentive Opportunity (% of Base Salary)			2016 Cash Incentive Earned	2016 Cash Incentive Earned
	Threshold	Target	Maximum	% of Base Salary	\$
Daniel P. Hansen	75%	150%	300%	256%	1,790,250
Craig J. Aniszewski	50%	100%	200%	171%	733,150
Greg A. Dowell	50%	100%	200%	168%	629,375
Christopher R. Eng	50%	100%	200%	167%	501,500
Paul Ruiz	35%	70%	140%	112%	308,963

The following table sets forth the payouts under the AFFO per share component, the RevPAR Growth component and the Individual Performance component that each executive earned in 2016:

Name	AFFO per share Payout (\$)	RevPAR Growth Payout (\$)	Individual Performance Payout (\$)	Total 2016 Cash Incentive Payout (\$)
Daniel P. Hansen	1,260,000	110,250	420,000	1,790,250
Craig J. Aniszewski	516,000	45,150	172,000	733,150
Greg A. Dowell	450,000	39,375	140,000	629,375
Christopher R. Eng	360,000	31,500	110,000	501,500
Paul Ruiz	231,000	20,213	57,750	308,963

3. Long-Term Incentive Compensation

Long-term equity incentives granted in 2016 consisted of a combination of performance-based and time-based stock awards. The Compensation Committee determined a target total long-term incentive grant value for each named executive officer. For all executives, awards were granted 60% in the form of performance-based stock and 40% in the form of time-based stock.

Name	Performance-Based Stock Target Value	Time-Based Stock Value	Total LTI Grant Value at Target
Daniel P. Hansen	\$1,440,000	\$960,000	\$2,400,000
Craig J. Aniszewski	\$600,000	\$400,000	\$1,000,000
Greg A. Dowell	\$450,000	\$300,000	\$750,000
Christopher R. Eng	\$180,000	\$120,000	\$300,000
Paul Ruiz	\$120,000	\$80,000	\$200,000

The Compensation Committee granted these stock awards under the Company's Equity Incentive Plan. The number of shares granted was based on the VWAP of our common stock for the ten trading days preceding the grant date. Note that the grant-date fair values, as reported in the Summary Compensation Table and the Grants of Plan-Based Awards table, differ from the values shown in the table above because the grant-date fair value of performance-based award is based on a Monte Carlo value and the grant-date fair value of time-based award is based on the closing share price on the grant date.

Performance-Based Stock Awards

Sixty-percent of each executive's total long-term equity incentive was granted in the form of performance-based shares tied to the Company's relative TSR versus constituent companies of the REIT Index. The number of shares that may be earned can range from 0 to 200% of the target shares granted, based on our relative TSR percentile rank over the three-year performance period starting March 8, 2016, and ending March 8, 2019, according to the following table:

Company 3-Year TSR Percentile Rank vs. REIT Index Companies	Percent of Target Shares Earned
< 30 th Percentile	0%
30 th Percentile	25%
55 th Percentile	100%
≥ 80 th Percentile	200%

The number of shares earned will be linearly interpolated for performance between the 30th and 55th percentile and for performance between the 55th and 80th percentile. In addition, if the Company's absolute TSR is equal to or greater than 8.5% per year (or 25.5% cumulative over the Performance Period), at least 25% of the performance-based shares will be earned.

The Company has entered into stock award agreements with the above-named executive officers, effective as of March 8, 2016, setting forth the terms and conditions of the performance-based stock awards. Except as described below, no performance-based shares will vest unless the executive remains in the continuous employ of the Company from the date of grant until March 8, 2019. On and after the date of the grant and prior to forfeiture of any of the performance-based shares, the executives will have the right to vote the shares that have been issued (i.e., the target number). Cash dividends on the performance-based shares will be accumulated and will only be paid to the executives to the extent the underlying performance-based share is earned.

If a "change in control" (as defined in the Company's Equity Incentive Plan), occurs prior to the conclusion of the Performance Period, the performance-based shares will be earned based on the Company's relative or absolute TSR performance up to the date of the change in control, and any earned shares will be fully vested on the third anniversary of the grant date (the end of the original performance period), subject to acceleration if either (a) the successor entity does not assume or replace the award, or (b) the participant is terminated without cause or for good reason. Under these circumstances, the executives will be entitled to receive any dividend equivalent payments to which they are entitled under the terms of the stock award agreements.

If an executive's employment is terminated on account of death or disability, termination without cause or voluntary termination for good reason, the performance-based shares may be earned contingent upon the attainment of the relative or absolute TSR performance hurdles at the end of the Performance Period. However, the number of performance-based shares earned by the executive, and the amount of any dividend equivalent payments to which the executive is entitled pursuant to the terms of the stock award agreement, will be adjusted pro rata based on the amount of time the executive was employed by the Company during the Performance Period.

For purposes of the performance-based stock awards, the Company's TSR will be calculated based on the VWAP of the Company's common stock for the ten trading days ending on, and including, March 8, 2019, or, if earlier, the control change date, minus \$10.96 (the VWAP of the Company's common stock for the ten trading days ending on, and including, March 7, 2016), plus any dividends paid on the Company's common stock during the Performance Period and assuming reinvestment of dividends at the closing price of the Company's common stock on the date the dividend was paid, all divided by \$10.96. The TSR for each Index Company will be calculated in the same manner.

Time-Based Stock Awards

Forty percent of each executive's total long-term equity incentive was granted in the form of time-based shares under the Company's Equity Incentive Plan. The Company entered into stock award agreements with the executive officers setting forth the terms and conditions of the time-based stock awards. The stock award agreements provide for vesting over a three-year period as follows: 25% of shares will vest on March 9, 2017; 25% of the shares will vest on March 9, 2018; and 50% of the shares will vest on March 9, 2019.

Except as described below, no time-based shares will vest unless the executive remains in the continuous employ of the Company from the date of grant until the applicable vesting date. If a "change in control" (as defined in the Company's Equity Incentive Plan) occurs prior to vesting and if the successor entity does not assume or replace the time-based shares, they will vest on a "control change date", as defined in the Equity Incentive Plan, if the executive remains in the continuous employ of the Company from the date of grant until the control change date. In addition, all of the time-based shares (if not sooner vested), will vest on the date that the executive's employment with the Company ends on account of the executive's death or disability, or if the executive's employment is terminated without cause, or if the executive resigns for good reason.

Any time-based shares that have not vested as described above may not be transferred and will be forfeited on the date the executive's employment with the Company terminates. On and after the date of grant and prior to forfeiture of any time-based shares, the executive will have the right to vote the time-based shares and to receive, free of all restrictions, all dividends declared and paid on the Company's common stock, whether or not vested.

Other Benefits; 401(k) Plan

We provide benefits such as medical, dental and life insurance and disability coverage for all of our employees, including our named executive officers. We also provide personal paid time off and other paid holidays to all employees, including the named executive officers. We believe that our employee benefit plans are an appropriate element of compensation and are necessary to attract and retain employees.

We maintain a retirement savings plan under section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code to cover our eligible employees. We currently match 100% of each eligible participant's contributions, within prescribed limits, up to 3% of such participant's base salary and 50% of each eligible participant's contributions up to an additional 2% of the participant's base salary. In 2016, Messrs. Hansen, Dowell, Aniszewski, Eng and Ruiz each received \$10,600 in matching contributions.

Employment Agreements

To specify our expectations with regard to our executive officers' duties and responsibilities, and to provide greater certainty with regard to the amounts payable to our executive officers in connection with certain terminations or change in control events, the Board has approved and we have entered into employment agreements with each of our executive officers. We believe that the terms of the employment agreements provide these executives with day-to-day employment stability, and enable them to properly focus their attention on their duties and responsibilities with the company, thereby promoting productivity.

Tax Deductibility of Executive Compensation

The Compensation Committee considers the anticipated tax treatment to the Company and the executive officers in its review and establishment of compensation programs and payments. The deductibility of some types of incentive compensation payments can depend upon the timing of the executive's vesting or exercise of previously granted rights. Interpretations of and changes in applicable tax laws and regulations, as well as other factors beyond the committee's control, also can affect deductibility of compensation. The Compensation Committee's general policy is to maintain flexibility in compensating executive officers in a manner designed to promote varying corporate goals. Accordingly, the compensation committee has not adopted a policy that all compensation must be deductible.

Accounting Standards

ASC 718 requires us to recognize an expense for the fair value of equity-based compensation awards. Grants of equity awards under our Equity Incentive Plan will be accounted for under ASC 718. The Compensation Committee will regularly consider the accounting implications of significant compensation decisions, especially in connection with decisions that relate to our equity plans and programs. As accounting standards change, we may revise certain programs to appropriately align accounting expenses of our equity awards with our overall executive compensation philosophy and objectives.

Risk Considerations in our Executive Compensation Program

The Compensation Committee has assessed our compensation program for the purpose of reviewing and considering any risks presented by our compensation policies and practices that are likely to have a material adverse effect on us. As part of that assessment, management reviewed the primary elements of our compensation program, including base salary, annual bonus opportunities, equity compensation and severance arrangements. Management's risk assessment included a review of the overall design of each primary element of our compensation program, and an analysis of the various design features, controls and approval rights in place with respect to compensation paid to management, and other employees, that mitigate potential risks to us that could arise from our compensation program. Following the assessment, management determined that our compensation policies and practices did not create risks that were reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on us and reported the results of the assessment to the Compensation Committee.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Compensation Committee of the Board of Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis appearing in this proxy statement with management of the Company. Based on the Compensation Committee's review of the CD&A and the Compensation Committee's discussions of the CD&A with management, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board (and the Board has approved) that the CD&A be included in the Company's proxy statement on Schedule 14A prepared in connection with the annual meeting.

Compensation Committee of the Board:

Kenneth J. Kay (Chair)

Bjorn R. L. Hanson

Jeffrey W. Jones

Thomas W. Storey

April 5, 2017

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Summary Compensation Table

The table below summarizes the total compensation paid or earned by each of our named executive officers for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Base Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$ (1))	Option Awards (\$ (2))	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$ (3))	All Other Compensation (\$ (4))	Total (\$)
Daniel P. Hansen; President and CEO	2016	700,000	—	2,815,489	—	1,790,250	10,600	5,316,339
	2015	575,000	—	2,483,986	—	1,437,500	10,600	4,507,086
	2014	450,000	—	1,185,597	—	1,068,750	10,400	2,714,747
Craig J. Aniszewski; EVP and COO	2016	430,000	—	1,173,123	—	733,150	10,600	2,346,873
	2015	375,000	—	836,397	—	468,750	10,600	1,690,747
	2014	350,000	—	344,899	—	415,625	10,400	1,120,924
Greg A. Dowell; EVP, CFO	2016	375,000	—	879,845	—	629,375	10,600	1,894,820
	2015	360,000	—	776,255	—	450,000	8,129	1,594,384
	2014	87,500	75,000	—	—	—	—	162,500
Christopher R. Eng; EVP, General Counsel and Chief Risk Officer	2016	300,000	—	351,932	—	501,500	10,600	1,164,032
	2015	260,000	—	205,321	—	243,750	10,600	719,671
	2014	250,000	—	107,782	—	221,875	42,538	622,195
Paul Ruiz; VP and CAO	2016	275,000	—	234,623	—	308,963	10,600	829,186
	2015	260,000	195,000	503,722	—	—	10,600	969,322
	2014	225,000	75,000	—	—	—	5,476	305,476

- (1) Amounts in this column represent the aggregate grant date fair value, computed in accordance with ASC 718, of time-based and performance-based stock awards granted under our Equity Incentive Plan. The assumptions used in calculating these amounts are discussed in Note 2 (“Summary of Significant Accounting Policies – Equity Based Compensation”) and Note 10 (“Equity-Based Compensation”) to our audited consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report.
- (2) Amounts in this column represent the incremental fair value, computed in accordance with ASC 718. For more information regarding the assumptions made by us in the valuation of these modified stock options, see Note 2 (“Summary of Significant Accounting Policies – Equity Based Compensation”) and Note 10 (“Equity-Based Compensation”) to our audited consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report.
- (3) Amounts in this column represent aggregate cash payouts pursuant to the Corporate Performance components and the Individual Performance component of the cash incentive awards granted to our named executive officers under our Equity Incentive Plan.
- (4) For 2016 and 2015, the amounts in the “All Other Compensation” column reflect contributions made by us to each of our named executive officers’ 401(k) accounts. For 2014, amounts in the “All Other Compensation” column reflect contributions made by us to each of our named executive officers’ 401(k) defined contribution plans in the following amounts: Mr. Hansen – \$10,400; Mr. Aniszewski – \$10,400; Mr. Eng – \$10,400; and Mr. Ruiz - \$5,476; and moving expenses in the amount of \$32,138 reimbursed by us to Mr. Eng in connection with his relocation to Austin, Texas.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards

The table below sets forth information with respect to plan-based awards granted in 2016 to our named executive officers:

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards			All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares (#)	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options (#)	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$/Share)	Grant Date Fair Value of Awards (\$)(5)
		Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)	Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maximum (#)				
Daniel P. Hansen	3/8/16(1)	420,000	840,000	1,680,000	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	3/8/16(2)	105,000	210,000	420,000	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	3/8/16(3)	—	—	—	32,847	131,387	262,774	—	—	—	1,809,068
	3/8/16(4)	—	—	—	—	—	—	87,591	—	—	1,006,421
Craig J. Aniszewski	3/8/16(1)	172,000	344,000	688,000	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	3/8/16(2)	43,000	86,000	172,000	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	3/8/16(3)	—	—	—	13,686	54,745	109,490	—	—	—	753,784
	3/8/16(4)	—	—	—	—	—	—	36,496	—	—	419,339
Greg Dowell	3/8/16(1)	150,000	300,000	600,000	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	3/8/16(2)	37,500	75,000	150,000	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	3/8/16(3)	—	—	—	10,265	41,059	82,118	—	—	—	565,341
	3/8/16(4)	—	—	—	—	—	—	27,372	—	—	314,504
Christopher R. Eng	3/8/16(1)	120,000	240,000	480,000	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	3/8/16(2)	30,000	60,000	120,000	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	3/8/16(3)	—	—	—	4,106	16,423	32,846	—	—	—	226,128
	3/8/16(4)	—	—	—	—	—	—	10,949	—	—	125,804
Paul Ruiz	3/8/16(1)	77,000	154,000	308,000	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	3/8/16(2)	19,250	38,500	77,000	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	3/8/16(3)	—	—	—	2,737	10,949	21,898	—	—	—	150,757
	3/8/16(4)	—	—	—	—	—	—	7,299	—	—	83,866

- (1) Represents the Corporate Performance measure of the incentive award granted in 2016 to each named executive officer under our Equity Incentive Plan. For each executive, the incentive award was paid out in cash in March 2017, except as otherwise detailed below. For more information, see “Compensation Discussion and Analysis — Compensation Elements — Cash Incentive Compensation Program.”
- (2) Represents the Individual Performance component of the incentive award granted in 2016 to each named executive officer under our Equity Incentive Plan. The Individual Performance component provided only for a single payout if earned. For each executive, the incentive award was paid out in cash in March 2017. For more information, see “Compensation Discussion and Analysis — Compensation Elements — Cash Incentive Compensation Program.”
- (3) Represents performance-based restricted stock awards granted to our named executive officers on March 8, 2016, under our Equity Incentive Plan.
- (4) Represents time-based restricted stock awards granted to our named executive officers on March 8, 2016, under our Equity Incentive Plan. The time-based restricted stock awards vest over a three year period.
- (5) Represents the aggregate grant date fair value of the performance-based stock awards and the time-based stock awards granted to our named executive officers on March 8, 2016, under our Equity Incentive Plan. The amounts have been computed in accordance with ASC 718. For more information regarding our assumptions made in the valuation of these stock awards, see Note 2 and Note 10 to our audited consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report.

Discussion of Summary Compensation and Grants of Plan-Based Awards Tables

Our executive compensation policies and practices, pursuant to which the compensation set forth in the Summary Compensation Table and the Grants of Plan-Based Awards table was paid or awarded to our named executive officers, are described above under “Compensation Discussion and Analysis.”

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

The table below sets forth information with respect to outstanding equity awards held by the named executive officers as of December 31, 2016.

Name	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#) (2)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$ (1)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested (#) (3)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested (\$ (1)
							Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested (#) (3)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested (\$ (1)
Daniel P. Hansen	235,000	—	9.75	2/13/21	161,183	2,583,763	249,656	4,001,986
Craig J. Aniszewski	—	—	—	—	60,266	966,064	92,942	1,489,860
Greg A. Dowell	—	—	—	—	41,333	662,568	68,982	1,105,781
Christopher R. Eng	—	—	—	—	17,207	275,828	26,498	424,763
Paul Ruiz	—	—	—	—	44,529	713,800	10,949	175,512

(1) The closing sale price of our common stock on the NYSE on December 30, 2016, was \$16.03.

(2) Time-Based Shares. Vesting dates for unvested time-based shares listed in the above table are as follows:

Vesting Date	Hansen	Aniszewski	Dowell	Eng	Ruiz
March 9, 2017	36,790	14,243	11,497	3,947	1,825
May 27, 2017	28,916	8,412	—	2,628	—
March 9, 2018	51,682	19,363	16,150	5,157	39,055
March 9, 2019	43,795	18,248	13,686	5,475	3,649

(3) Performance-Based Shares. Potential vesting dates for unvested performance-based shares listed in the above table are as follows:

Potential Vesting Date	Hansen	Aniszewski	Dowell	Eng	Ruiz
January 1, 2017	28,917	8,413	—	2,629	—
January 1, 2018	89,352	29,784	27,923	7,446	—
January 1, 2019	131,387	54,745	41,059	16,423	10,949

Based on the Company’s TSR exceeding the REIT Index in 2016, on January 1, 2017, the number of shares that vested for each executive was 28,917 for Mr. Hansen, 8,413 for Mr. Aniszewski, and 2,629 for Mr. Eng.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested

The following tables set forth information regarding option award exercising and stock vesting during 2016.

Name	<u>Option Awards</u>		<u>Stock Awards</u>	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$)
Daniel P. Hansen	—	—	139,146	1,625,627
Craig J. Aniszewski	37,684	1,003,450	35,513	415,148
Greg A. Dowell	—	—	4,654	53,754
Christopher R. Eng	—	—	12,374	144,068
Paul Ruiz	—	—	—	—

Employment Agreements

We entered into employment agreements, effective as of May 28, 2014, with Mr. Hansen, Mr. Aniszewski and Mr. Eng. We entered into an employment agreement, effective as of October 1, 2014, with Mr. Dowell. We also entered into an employment agreement, effective January 1, 2015, with Mr. Ruiz. We believe that the terms of the employment agreements provide these executives with day-to-day employment stability, and enable them to properly focus their attention on their duties and responsibilities with the company, thereby promoting productivity.

Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control

The table below reflects the amount of compensation that our named executive officers would be entitled to receive under their employment agreements. The amounts shown assume that such termination was effective as of December 31, 2016, and are only estimates of the amounts that would be paid out to such executives upon termination of their employment. The actual amounts to be paid out can only be determined at the time of such executive's separation from the company. In the event of a termination by the company for cause, or by the executive without good reason, including in connection with a change in control, such executive would not be entitled to any of the amounts reflected in the table and would only be entitled to the standard termination benefits provided under their employment agreement.

	Termination Without Cause or Voluntary Termination for Good Reason (No Change in Control)	Termination Without Cause or Voluntary Termination for Good Reason (Change in Control)	Death or Disability(1)
Daniel P. Hansen			
Cash Severance Payment(2)	6,687,500	6,687,500	—
Medical/Welfare Benefits(3)	22,600	22,600	—
Acceleration of Equity Awards(4)	6,585,749	6,585,749	6,585,749
Total(5)	13,295,849	13,295,849	6,585,749
Craig J. Aniszewski			
Cash Severance Payment(2)	1,758,750	2,188,750	—
Medical/Welfare Benefits(3)	17,600	17,600	—
Acceleration of Equity Awards(4)	2,455,924	2,455,924	2,455,924
Total(5)	4,232,274	4,662,274	2,455,924
Greg A. Dowell			
Cash Severance Payment(2)	1,575,000	1,950,000	—
Medical/Welfare Benefits(3)	21,600	21,600	—
Acceleration of Equity Awards(4)	1,768,349	1,768,349	1,768,349
Total(5)	3,364,949	3,739,949	1,768,349
Christopher R. Eng			
Cash Severance Payment(2)	1,143,750	1,443,750	—
Medical/Welfare Benefits(3)	13,100	13,100	—
Acceleration of Equity Awards(4)	700,591	700,591	700,591
Total(5)	1,857,441	2,157,441	700,591
Paul Ruiz			
Cash Severance Payment(2)	1,020,000	1,295,000	—
Medical/Welfare Benefits(3)	15,100	15,100	—
Acceleration of Equity Awards(4)	889,312	889,312	889,312
Total(5)	1,924,412	2,199,412	889,312

- (1) A termination of the executive officer's employment due to death or disability entitles the executive officer to benefits under our life insurance and disability insurance plans. In addition, outstanding stock awards that have not yet vested, immediately vest upon the executive officer's termination of employment due to death or disability.
- (2) The amounts shown in this row do not include any accrued salary, earned but unpaid bonuses, accrued but unused vacation pay or the distribution of benefits from any tax-qualified retirement or 401(k) plan. Those amounts are payable to our named executive officers upon any termination of their employment, including a termination with cause and a voluntary termination without good reason.
- (3) The amounts shown in this row are estimates of the cash payments to be made under the applicable employment agreement based on the annual premiums to be paid by us for health care, life and disability insurance, and other benefits, expected to be provided to each executive officer.
- (4) In the event of a change in control, stock awards granted in 2015 and prior years that have not yet vested would generally become fully vested regardless of whether the executive's employment is terminated. In the event of a change in control, stock awards granted in 2016 that have not yet vested would only become fully vested if the stock award is not assumed by, or a substitute award granted by, the surviving entity and the executive remains continually employed until the change of control date. The table above assumes that all shares that have not yet vested on a change of control would become fully vested.
- (5) The employment agreements with our named executive officers do not provide an indemnification or gross-up payment for the parachute payment excise tax under Sections 280G and 4999 of the Code. The employment agreements instead provide that the severance and any other payments or benefits that are treated as parachute payments under the Code will be reduced to the maximum amount that can be paid without an excise tax liability. The parachute payments will not be reduced, however, if the executive will receive greater after-tax benefits by receiving the total or unreduced benefits (after taking into account any excise tax liability payable by the executive). The amounts shown in the table assume that the executive officer will receive the total or unreduced benefits.

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

The Audit Committee (“Audit Committee”) of the Board of Directors (“Board”) of Summit Hotel Properties, Inc. (“Company”) oversees the Company’s financial reporting process on behalf of the Board, in accordance with the Audit Committee charter. Management has the primary responsibility for the financial statements and the reporting process, including the system of internal controls. In fulfilling its oversight responsibilities, the Audit Committee reviewed with management the audited consolidated financial statements included in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016 (“Annual Report”), and discussed with management the quality, not just the acceptability, of the Company’s accounting principles, the reasonableness of significant judgments and the clarity of disclosures in the financial statements. The Audit Committee also reviewed and discussed with management the Company’s year-end earnings release.

The Audit Committee reviewed with the independent registered public accountants, who are responsible for expressing an opinion on the conformity of the Company’s audited consolidated financial statements with generally accepted accounting principles, their judgments as to the quality, not just the acceptability, of the Company’s accounting principles and such other matters as are required to be discussed with the Audit Committee under generally accepted auditing standards. In addition, the Audit Committee has discussed with the independent registered public accountants the auditors’ independence, the matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board in Rule 3200T, and discussed and received the written disclosures and the letter from the independent registered public accountants required by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent auditors’ communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence.

The Audit Committee discussed with the Company’s independent registered public accountants the overall scope and plans for their audit. The Audit Committee met four times in person and three times telephonically in 2016, with the independent registered public accountants, with and without management present, to discuss the results of their examinations, their evaluations of the Company’s internal controls and the overall quality of the Company’s financial reporting. The Audit Committee held meetings with management prior to the filing of each of the Company’s Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) and the release to the public of its quarterly earnings, and reviewed and discussed with management the Company’s Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and its quarterly earnings releases.

In reliance on the reviews and discussions referred to above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board (and the Board approved) that the audited consolidated financial statements be included in the Company’s Annual Report.

The Audit Committee is also responsible for monitoring the Company’s procedures for compliance with the rules for taxation as a real estate investment trust under Sections 856-860 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 as amended (“Code”). The Audit Committee determined that the Company was in compliance with the Code for 2016.

The members of the Audit Committee are not professionally engaged in the practice of auditing or accounting. Members of the Audit Committee rely, without independent verification, on the information provided to them and on the representations made by management and the independent registered public accountants. Accordingly, the Audit Committee’s oversight does not provide an independent basis to determine that management has maintained appropriate accounting and financial reporting principles or appropriate internal controls and procedures designed to assure compliance with accounting standards and applicable laws and regulations. Furthermore, the Audit Committee’s considerations and discussions referred to above do not assure that the audit of the Company’s financial statements has been carried out in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, that the financial statements are presented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles or that Ernst & Young, LLP, the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm for the year ended December 31, 2016, was in fact “independent.”

The Audit Committee has adopted a written charter that outlines certain specified responsibilities of the Audit Committee and complies with the rules of the SEC and the New York Stock Exchange (“NYSE”).

Each of the Audit Committee members is independent as defined by the NYSE listing standards and each member is financially literate. The Board has identified Bjorn R. L. Hanson, Jeffrey W. Jones and Kenneth J. Kay as “audit committee financial experts” within the meaning of the SEC rules.

Audit Committee of the Board:

Jeffrey W. Jones (Chair)
 Bjorn R. L. Hanson
 Kenneth J. Kay
 Thomas W. Storey
 April 5, 2017

Fee Disclosure

The following is a summary of the fees billed to the Company by EY, the Company’s current independent registered public accounting firms for professional services rendered for the years ended December 31, 2016, and 2015:

	Year Ended December 31, 2016	Year Ended December 31, 2015
Audit Fees	\$752,000	\$706,200
Audit-Related Fees	7,451	281,200
Tax Fees	60,000	62,000
All Other Fees	75,000	—
Total	\$894,451	\$1,049,400

Audit Fees

“Audit Fees” consist of fees and expenses billed for professional services rendered for the audit of the annual consolidated financial statements, review of the interim consolidated financial statements, review of registration statements, and the preparation of comfort letters and services that are normally provided by accountants in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements.

Audit-Related Fees

“Audit-Related Fees” consist of fees and expenses for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of our financial statements that are not “Audit Fees.”

Tax Fees

“Tax Fees” consist of fees and related expenses billed for professional services for tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning. These services include assistance regarding federal and state tax compliance and tax planning and structuring.

All Other Fees

“All Other Fees” consist of fees and expenses for products and services that are not “Audit Fees,” “Audit-Related Fees” or “Tax Fees.”

Pre-Approval Policy

All fees for audit, tax and other services provided to us are reviewed and pre-approved by the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee concluded that the provision of such services by EY in 2016 were compatible with the maintenance of those firms’ independence in the conduct of their auditing functions. All of the fees paid to EY in 2015 and 2016 that are described above were pre-approved by the Audit Committee.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners

The following table sets forth the beneficial ownership of our common stock, as of March 20, 2017, for each stockholder that is known by us to be the beneficial owner of more than five percent of our common stock based upon filings made with the SEC by such stockholders. The percentages shown in this table have been calculated by us based on 93,811,172 shares of our common stock outstanding as of March 20, 2017.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Number of Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned (1)	Percentage of Common Stock Beneficially Owned (2)
BlackRock, Inc. (3)	12,408,969	13.23%
The Vanguard Group, Inc.(4)	12,258,026	13.07%
Vanguard Specialized Funds – Vanguard REIT Index Fund (5)	6,630,300	7.07%

- (1) The number of shares of common stock beneficially owned is reported on the basis of regulations of the SEC governing the determination of beneficial ownership of securities. The number of shares of our common stock held by the stockholders who filed statements of beneficial ownership pursuant to Section 13(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and Regulation 13D thereunder, as described in other footnotes to this table, is current as of the date of the filing of each such stockholder’s statement.
- (2) Percentages calculated on the basis of the amount of outstanding common stock, excluding securities held by or for the account of the Company or our subsidiaries, plus common stock deemed outstanding pursuant to Rule 13d-3(d)(1) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
- (3) Based on a Schedule 13G/A filed by BlackRock, Inc. (“BlackRock”), with the SEC on January 17, 2017. BlackRock had sole voting power over 12,172,346 shares and sole dispositive power over 12,408,969 shares. BlackRock has its principal business office at 55 East 52nd St., New York, NY 10022.
- (4) Based on a Schedule 13G/A filed by The Vanguard Group, Inc. (“Vanguard Group”), with the SEC on February 10, 2017. Vanguard Group has sole voting power over 207,725 shares, shared voting power over 99,173 shares, sole dispositive power over 12,060,080 shares and shared dispositive power over 197,946 shares. Vanguard Fiduciary Trust Company (“VFTC”), a wholly owned subsidiary of Vanguard Group, is the beneficial owner of 98,773 shares as a result of VFTC serving as investment manager of collective trust accounts. Vanguard Investments Australia, Ltd. (“VIA”), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Vanguard Group, is the beneficial owner of 208,125 shares as a result of VIA serving as investment manager of Australian investment offerings. Vanguard Group has its principal business office at 100 Vanguard Blvd., Malvern, PA 19355.
- (5) Based on a Schedule 13G/A filed by Vanguard Specialized Funds – Vanguard REIT Index Fund (“Vanguard Funds”), with the SEC on February 14, 2017. Vanguard Funds has sole voting power over 6,630,300 shares. Vanguard Funds has its principal business office at 100 Vanguard Blvd., Malvern, PA 19355.

Security Ownership of Management

The following table sets forth the beneficial ownership of our common stock as of March 20, 2017, for each of our named executive officers, each of our director/nominees and all of our executive officers and directors as a group.

Name of Beneficial Owner (1)	Number of Shares Beneficially Owned	Percentage of All Shares (2)
Daniel P. Hansen(3)	801,708	*
Craig J. Aniszewski(4)	323,657	*
Greg A. Dowell(4)	162,542	*
Christopher R. Eng(4)	82,446	*
Paul Ruiz(4)	67,614	*
Bjorn R. L. Hanson	35,067	*
Thomas W. Storey	85,217	*
Kenneth J. Kay	21,639	*
Jeffrey W. Jones	21,639	*
All directors and executive officers as a group (9 persons)	1,601,529	1.71%

*Represents less than one percent of the outstanding shares of common stock.

- (1) Unless otherwise indicated in the following footnotes, each person has sole voting and investment power with respect to all of the shares of common stock shown as beneficially owned by such person. The address of each named person is c/o Summit Hotel Properties, Inc., 12600 Hill Country Boulevard, Suite R-100, Austin, Texas 78738.
- (2) Based on shares of our common stock issued and outstanding as of March 20, 2017. The total number of shares of our common stock outstanding used in calculating this percentage assumes that all shares of our common stock that each person has the right to acquire within 60 days of March 20, 2016, (pursuant to the exercise of stock options or upon the redemption of common units) are deemed to be outstanding, but are not deemed to be outstanding for the purpose of computing the ownership percentage of any other person.
- (3) Includes (i) 235,000 shares of our common stock issuable upon the exercise of stock options granted to Mr. Hansen upon completion of our initial public offering and (ii) unvested restricted shares of our common stock issued under our Equity Incentive Plan. No shares noted herein are pledged as security.
- (4) Includes unvested restricted shares of our common stock issued under our Equity Incentive Plan. No shares noted herein are pledged as security.

RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Related Party Transaction Policy

We have adopted a written policy for the review and approval of related person transactions requiring disclosure under Item 404(a) of Regulation S-K. This policy provides that the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is responsible for reviewing and approving or disapproving all interested transactions, meaning any transaction, arrangement or relationship in which (i) the amount involved may be expected to exceed \$120,000 in any fiscal year, (ii) our company or one of our subsidiaries will be a participant and (iii) a related person has a direct or indirect material interest. A related person is defined as an executive officer, director or nominee for election as director, or a greater than 5% beneficial owner of our common stock, or an immediate family member of the foregoing. The policy may deem certain interested transactions to be pre-approved.

Related Party Transactions

There were no related party transactions in 2016.

OTHER INFORMATION

Discretionary Voting Authority

We do not anticipate that any matter other than the proposals set out in this proxy statement will be raised at the Annual meeting. If any other matters are properly presented at the Annual meeting, the persons named as proxies will have discretion to vote on those matters according to their discretion.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires our executive officers and directors, and any person who owns more than ten percent of our common stock, to file with the SEC initial reports of beneficial ownership and certain changes in that beneficial ownership, with respect to our equity securities. We prepare and file these reports on behalf of our directors and executive officers. During 2016, all Section 16(a) reporting requirements applicable to our directors and executive officers were satisfied, except Mr. Aniszewski amended a Form 4 previously filed on February 15, 2011, to report options granted to Mr. Aniszewski at the time of our initial public offering, which were inadvertently omitted from such original Form 4 and Mr. Hansen filed a Form 5 to report options granted to Mr. Hansen at the time of our initial public offering, which were inadvertently not reported at the time of our initial public offering.

Stockholder Proposals and Director Nominations for the 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders

Requirements for Proposals to be Considered for Inclusion in Proxy Materials

Stockholders interested in submitting a proposal for inclusion in the proxy materials for our 2018 Annual meeting of Stockholders may do so by following the procedures prescribed in Rule 14a-8 under the Exchange Act. To be eligible for inclusion in our proxy statement, stockholder proposals must be received no later than December 6, 2017, the date 120 calendar days before the first anniversary of the date of this proxy statement and must comply with Rule 14a-8 under the Exchange Act regarding the inclusion of stockholder proposals in company-sponsored proxy materials. If we change the date of the 2018 Annual meeting of Stockholders by more than 30 days from the anniversary of this year's meeting, stockholder proposals must be received a reasonable time before we begin to print and mail our proxy materials for the 2018 Annual meeting of Stockholders. Proposals should be mailed to our Secretary at the following address:

Summit Hotel Properties, Inc.
12600 Hill Country Boulevard, Suite R-100
Austin, Texas 78738
Attention: Secretary

Requirements for Proposals Not Intended for Inclusion in Proxy Materials; Director Nominations

Stockholders who wish to nominate persons for election to the Board at the 2018 annual meeting of stockholders or who wish to present a proposal at the 2018 annual meeting of stockholders, but whose stockholder proposal will not be included in the proxy materials we distribute for such meeting, must deliver written notice of the nomination or proposal to our Secretary no earlier than November 6, 2017 and no later than December 6, 2017 (provided, however, that if the 2018 annual meeting of stockholders is advanced or delayed by more than 30 days from the first anniversary of this year's meeting, nominations and proposals must be received no earlier than the 150th day prior to the date of the 2018 annual meeting of stockholders and no later than the later of the 120th day prior to the date of the 2018 annual meeting of stockholders or the 10th day following the day on which public announcement of the date of the 2018 annual meeting of stockholders is first made). The stockholder's written notice must include certain information concerning the stockholder and each nominee as specified in our Bylaws. If a stockholder's written notice is not received between the dates specified above and does not satisfy these additional informational requirements, the notice will not be considered properly submitted and will not be acted upon at the 2018 annual meeting of stockholders. A stockholder's written notice should be sent to our Secretary at the following address:

Summit Hotel Properties, Inc.
12600 Hill Country Boulevard, Suite R-100
Austin, Texas 78738
Attention: Secretary

Requests for Annual Report

A copy of our Annual Report, including the consolidated financial statements and the financial statement schedules, may be obtained at our website at www.shpreit.com. If you would like to receive a complimentary copy of our Annual Report, please submit a written request to:

Summit Hotel Properties, Inc.
12600 Hill Country Boulevard, Suite R-100
Austin, Texas 78738
Attention: Investor Relations

BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Chris Eng". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Christopher Eng
Executive Vice President, General Counsel
Chief Risk Officer and Secretary

Austin, Texas
April 5, 2017

APPENDIX A

SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, INC.

ARTICLES OF AMENDMENT

SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, INC., a Maryland corporation (the "Corporation"), hereby certifies to the State Department of Assessments and Taxation of Maryland that:

FIRST: Section 5.1 of Article V of the Articles of Amendment and Restatement of the Corporation filed on February 4, 2011 (the "Charter") is hereby amended by deleting the last paragraph of such Section 5.1 in its entirety.

SECOND: The amendment to the Charter as set forth above has been duly approved and advised by the Board of Directors of the Corporation and approved by the stockholders of the Corporation in the manner and by the vote required by law.

THIRD: The undersigned President and Chief Executive Officer of the Corporation acknowledges these Articles of Amendment to be the act of the Corporation and, as to all matters or facts required to be verified under oath, the undersigned President and Chief Executive Officer of the Corporation acknowledges that, to the best of his knowledge, information and belief, these matters and facts are true in all material respects and that this statement is made under the penalties for perjury.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Corporation has caused these Articles of Amendment to be signed in its name and on its behalf by its President and Chief Executive Officer and witnessed by its Executive Vice President, General Counsel, Chief Risk Officer and Secretary on _____, 2017.

WITNESS:

SUMMIT HOTEL PROPERTIES, INC.

By: _____
Christopher Eng
Executive Vice President, General Counsel,
Chief Risk Officer and Secretary

By: _____
Daniel P. Hansen
President and Chief Executive Officer